arXiv:0907.2865v1 [math.CO] 16 Jul 2009

Rationality of generating functions of rook polynomials and permanents of Kronecker products of Toeplitz matrices and circulants with the matrix J_k and their evaluation. I.

A.M. Kamenetsky

Abstract

In this paper we give a generalization created by author theory of the rook polynomials and permanents of circulants, Toeplits matrices and their submatrices. Let

$$T_n^k = (b_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n}, \qquad P_n = (c_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n},$$

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j-i = k, \\ 0, & \text{if } j-i \neq k, \end{cases} \qquad c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j-i \equiv 1 (\bmod n) \\ 0, & j-i \not\equiv 1 (\bmod n). \end{cases}$$

Let $i^{<l>} = i, i, \ldots, i$ (l times), let

$$G_t^{[k]} = \{(1^{l_1}, \ldots, t^{l_t}) |\, 0 \leqslant l_i \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t\},\ G_{r,t}^{[k]} = \{(1^{<l_1>}, \ldots, t^{<l_t>} \in G_t^{[k]}) |\sum_{i=1}^{t} l_i = r\},$$

let $R(x;A)$ be the rook polynomial of a rectangular matrix A over a commutative ring with unity. On the sets $G_t^{[k]}$ and $G_{r,t}^{[k]}$ we define the square matrices $K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$ and $\prod_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$, respectively. If X is a finite set of cardinality n then we denote by $\mathbf{L}$ (in the context of the concrete set X under consideration) a fixed bijection from X onto $N_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let J_k denote the $k \times k$ matrix of $1'$s. Let $\{\overline{\alpha}\}$ be a multiset composed from components of a vector $\overline{\alpha}$.

The basic results obtained in this paper are following. Let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, $a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, a_{-r+t}$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then for all $n \geqslant 1$

$$R\left(x; \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T_n^{(-r+i)}\right) \otimes J_k\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\overline{\gamma} \in \bigcup_{l=rk}^{kt} G_{l,t}^{[k]} \\ \{\overline{\gamma}\} \supseteq \{1^{<k>}, 2^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>}\}}} (K_t^{[k]}(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x))^n [\mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>}) | \mathbf{L}(\overline{\gamma})],$$

$$R\left(x; \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} P_n^{-r+i}\right) \otimes J_k\right) = \mathrm{Tr}((K_t^{[k]}(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x))^n),$$

$$\mathrm{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T_n^{(-r+i)}\right) \otimes J_k\right) =$$

$$= \left(\Pi_{rk,t}^{[k]}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t})\right)^n [\mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>}) | \mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>})],$$

$$\mathrm{per}\left(\left(\sum^{t} a_{-r+i} x^i P_n^{-r+i}\right) \otimes J_k\right) = \sum^{kt} \left(\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(\Pi_{l,t}^{[k]}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t})\right)^n\right)\right) x^{ln}.$$

Key words: rook polynomials, permanents, circulants, Toeplitz matrices.

It is well known that entire classical theory of enumeration of permutations with restricted positions, ascending still to L. Euler (1708), P. R. Montmort (1713), P. G. Tait, A. Cayley, T. Muir (1878), E. Lucas (1891), J. Touchard (1934) and I. Kaplansky (1943), to reduce to evalution of rook polynomials and permanents of $(0,1)$ Toeplitz matrices and (0,1) circulant matrices.

Really, let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of rational integers, S be a finite subset of the set $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ be the symmetric group of substitutions of the set $1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$A = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(n) \mid \sigma(i) - i \in S \text{ for all } i,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\},$$

$$B = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(n) \mid \sigma(i) - i \notin S \text{ for all } i,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\},$$

$$C = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(n) \mid \sigma(i) - i \in S + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\},$$

$$D = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(n) \mid \sigma(i) - i \notin S + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}.$$

Then $|A| = \mathrm{per}\Big(\sum\limits_{i\in S} T_n^{(i)}\Big)$, $|B| = \mathrm{per}\Big(J_n - \sum\limits_{i\in S} T_n^{(i)}\Big)$ for all $n \geqslant 1$ and $|C| = \mathrm{per}\Big(\sum\limits_{i\in S} P_n^i\Big)$, $|D| = {}$ $= \mathrm{per}\Big(J_n - \sum\limits_{i\in S} P_n^i\Big)$, for all $n \geqslant (\max S - \min S) + 1$, where $T_n^{(i)}$ and P_n defined down. Further, if A is an $m \times n$ matrix with $m \leqslant n$ and the rook polynomial of A is $R(x; A) = 1 + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} r_i(A) x^i$, then $\mathrm{per}(yJ_{m,n} - A) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^i \binom{n-i}{m-i} (m-i)!\, r_i(A) \cdot y^{m-s}$, where $r_0(A) = 1$ and $J_{m,n}$ denote the $m \times n$ matrix of 1's.

The present paper is a generalization being created by the author theory ([1], [2], [3]) of the permanents and the rook polynomials of general circulants, Toeplitz matrices and their submatrices.

Let $\mathrm{Inj}(S, X)$ be the set of injections of a set S into a set X. Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant m\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant n}}$ be a rectangular matrix over a commutative ring with unity, let $m \leqslant n$, let

$$R(x; z; A) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m} x^k \sum_{\substack{S\subseteq N_m\\ |S|=k}} \sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Inj}(S, N_n)} z^{|\sigma|} \prod_{i\in S} a_{i,\sigma(i)} \tag{1}$$

be the cycle rook polynomial of the matrix A, let $\mathrm{per}(z; A) = \sum\limits_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Inj}(N_m, N_n)} z^{|\sigma|} \prod\limits_{i\in N_m} a_{i,\sigma(i)}$ be the cycle permanent of the matrix A, let $R(x; A) = R(x; 1; A)$ and $\mathrm{per}(A) = \mathrm{per}(1; A)$, where $|\sigma|$ is the number of cycles of the injective mapping σ, and let $N_m = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. Let $T_n^{(k)} = (b_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n}$, and $P_n = (c_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n}$, where

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j - i = k, \\ 0, & \text{if } j - i \neq k, \end{cases} \qquad c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}, \\ 0, & \text{if } j - i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

Let $m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)$ be the number of components of a vector $\overline{\alpha}$ equal to i, let $i^{\langle l\rangle} = i, i, \dots, i$ (l times), and let

$$G_t^{[k]} = \{(1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle}) \mid 0 \leqslant l_i \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t\},$$

$$G_{r,t}^{[k]} = \Big\{(1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle}) \in G_t^{[k]} \;\Big|\; \sum_{i=1}^{t} l_i = r\Big\},\ 0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt.$$

Then

$$|G_{r,t}^{[k]}| = \operatorname*{Coef}_{x^r}(1 + x + \dots + x^k)^t =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\lambda_0+\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_k=t\\ \lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\dots+k\lambda_k=r}} \frac{t!}{\lambda_0!\,\lambda_1!\dots\lambda_k!} = \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{k+1}\right]} (-1)^l \binom{t}{l}\binom{t+r-l(k+1)-1}{t-1},$$

$$|G_t^{[k]}| = (k+1)^t.$$

On the set $G_{r,t}^{[k]}$ we define the square matrix $\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = (a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}})_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}\in G_{r,t}^{[k]}}$ as follows: $\Pi_{0,0}^{[k]} = k!\,a_0^k$; if $t \geqslant 1$, $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle}) \in G_{r,t}^{[k]}$, then

$$a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = \begin{cases} k!\begin{pmatrix} k \\ l_t + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \end{pmatrix} \binom{l_1}{p_1}\binom{l_2}{p_2}\dots\binom{l_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}} a_0^{k-l_t-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i} a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \dots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}} a_t^{l_t}, \\ \quad \text{if } \overline{\beta} = \Big(1^{\left\langle l_t + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1 - p_1\rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2 - p_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1} - p_{t-1}\rangle}\Big),\ 0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant l_i, \\ \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1,\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \leqslant k - l_t, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the set $G_t^{[k]}$ we define the square matrix $K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = (a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}})_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}\in G_t^{[k]}}$ as follows: $K_0^{[k]}(a_0) = \sum\limits_{d=0}^{k} d!\binom{k}{d}^2 a_0^d$. If $t \geqslant 1$, $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle}) \in G_t^{[k]}$, then

$$a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = \begin{cases} \binom{l_1}{p_1}\binom{l_2}{p_2}\dots\binom{l_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}} \begin{pmatrix} k \\ v - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \end{pmatrix} a_0^{v-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i} a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \dots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}} \times \\ \quad \times \sum\limits_{d=0}^{\min(l_t, k-v)} (d+v)!\binom{k}{d+v}\binom{l_t}{d} a_t^d, \\ \quad \text{if } \overline{\beta} = \Big(1^{\left\langle k-v+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1 - p_1\rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2 - p_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1} - p_{t-1}\rangle}\Big), \\ \quad 0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant l_i,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1,\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \leqslant v \leqslant k, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\overline{\alpha}\}$ be a multiset, consisting from the components of a vector $\overline{\alpha}$. If X is a finite set of cardinality n then we denote by symbol $\mathbf{L}$ (in the context of the concrete set X under consideration) a fixed bijection from X onto N_n. Let J_k be the square matrix of order k, with all elements equal to 1. If $\overline{\alpha} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then, by definition,

Theorem 1. *Let* $t \geqslant 0$*, let* $a_0,\ a_1,\ \ldots,\ a_t$ *be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let* $n \geqslant 1$ *and* $k \geqslant 1$*, let* $\overline{\beta} \in G^{[k]}_{\min(n,t)}$*, let* $m = \min(n,t)$*. Then for all* $\overline{\alpha} \in G^{[k]}_t$

$$R\left(x; \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_i T^{(i)}_{n+t}\right) \otimes J_k\right)[N_{nk} \mid k(1^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}}(1)\rangle}, 2^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}}(2)\rangle}, \ldots, m^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}}(m)\rangle}),\right.$$

$$\left. mk+1, mk+2, \ldots, nk, nk+k\overline{\alpha}]\right) =$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\min(n,t)} \binom{k}{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{\overline{\gamma} \in G^{[k]}_t \\ \{\overline{\gamma}\} \supseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\min(n,t)} \binom{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)} \cdot (K^{[k]}_t(a_0x, a_1x, \ldots a_tx))^n [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\gamma})].$$

Theorem 2. *Let* $t \geqslant 0$*, let* $a_0,\ a_1,\ \ldots,\ a_t$ *be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let* $n \geqslant 1$ *and* $k \geqslant 1$*, let* $0 \leqslant r \leqslant k\min(n,t)$*, let* $\overline{\beta} \in G^{[k]}_{r,\min(n,t)}$*, let* $m = \min(n,t)$*. Then for all* $\overline{\alpha} \in G^{[k]}_{r,t}$

$$\operatorname{per}\left(\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_i T^{(i)}_{n+t}\right) \otimes J_k\right)\left[N_{nk} \mid k(1^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}}(1)\rangle}, 2^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}}(2)\rangle}, \ldots, m^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}}(m)\rangle}),\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. mk+1, mk+2, \ldots, nk, nk+k\overline{\alpha}\right]\right) =$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\min(n,t)} \binom{k}{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}^{-1}\right) (\Pi^{[k]}_{r,t}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})].$$

Theorem 3. *Let* $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$*, let* $a_{-r},\ a_{-r+1},\ \ldots,\ a_{-r+t}$ *be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then for all* $n \geqslant 1$

$$R\left(x; \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T^{(-r+i)}_n\right) \otimes J_k\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\overline{\gamma} \in \bigcup_{l=rk}^{kt} G^{[k]}_{l,t} \\ \{\overline{\gamma}\} \supseteq \{1^{\langle k\rangle}, 2^{\langle k\rangle}, \ldots, r^{\langle k\rangle}\}}} (K^{[k]}_t(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x))^n [\mathbf{L}(1^{\langle k\rangle}, 2^{\langle k\rangle}, \ldots, r^{\langle k\rangle}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\gamma})],$$

$$\operatorname{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T^{(-r+i)}_n\right) \otimes J_k\right) =$$

$$= (\Pi^{[k]}_{rk,t}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t}))^n [\mathbf{L}(1^{\langle k\rangle}, 2^{\langle k\rangle}, \ldots, r^{\langle k\rangle}) \mid \mathbf{L}(1^{\langle k\rangle}, 2^{\langle k\rangle}, \ldots, r^{\langle k\rangle})],$$

$$R\left(x; \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} P^{-r+i}_n\right) \otimes J_k\right) = \operatorname{Tr}((K^{[k]}_t(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x))^n),$$

$$\operatorname{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} x^i P^{-r+i}_n\right) \otimes J_k\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{kt} (\operatorname{Tr}((\Pi^{[k]}_{l,t}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t}))^n)) x^{ln}.$$

Theorem 4. $\operatorname{per}((a_0I_n + a_1P_n) \otimes J_k) = (k!)^n \sum\limits_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l}^n (a_0^{k-l}a_1^l)^n$ *for all* $n \geqslant 1$*.*

Proof. Since $\Pi^{[k]}_{l,1}(a_0, a_1) = k!\binom{k}{l} a_0^{k-l}a_1^l$, $0 \leqslant l \leqslant k$, then from the theorem 3 it follows that

$$\operatorname{per}((a_0I_n + a_1P_n) \otimes J_k) = \sum^{k} \left(k!\binom{k}{l} a_0^{k-1}a_1^l\right)^n.$$

■

Let $t \geqslant 1$ and $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, let a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, $\ldots$, a_{-r+t} be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let $a_{\frac{1}{2k}} = 1$, let $W_{r,t}^{[k]} = \Big\{(\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}) \in \Big\{-r,-r+1,\ldots,-r+t,\frac{1}{2k}\Big\}^{kt} \times \{0,1,\ldots,k-1\}^{kt} \mid \overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{kt-1})$, $\overline{\beta} = (l_0,l_1,\ldots,l_{kt-1})$, the mapping $ks+i \to k(s+\alpha_{ks+i})+l_{ks+i}$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, of the set $\{0,1,\ldots,kt-1\}$ is injective; if $\alpha_{ks+i} = \frac{1}{2k}$, then $l_{ks+i} = i\Big\}$, let $V_{r,t}^{[k]} = \Big\{(\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}) \in \{-r,-r+1,\ldots,-r+t\}^{kt} \times \{0,1,\ldots,k-1\}^{kt} \mid \overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{kt-1}), \overline{\beta} =$ $= (l_0,l_1,\ldots,l_{kt-1})$, the mapping $ks+i \to k(s+\alpha_{ks+i})+l_{ks+i}, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, of the set $\{0,1,\ldots,kt-1\}$ is injective $\Big\}$. Let $A_{r,t}^{[[k]]}(a_{-r},a_{-r+1},\ldots,a_{-r+t}) = (a_{(\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}),(\overline{\varepsilon},\overline{\rho})})_{(\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}),(\overline{\varepsilon},\overline{\rho}) \in W_{r,t}^{[k]}}$ be the square matrix of order $|W_{r,t}^{[k]}|$ with the elements $a_{(\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}),(\overline{\varepsilon},\overline{\rho})}$, where $\overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{kt-1})$, $\overline{\beta} = (l_0,l_1,\ldots,l_{kt-1})$, $\overline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{kt-1})$, $\overline{\rho} = (p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_{kt-1})$ defined as follows:

$$a_{(\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}),(\overline{\varepsilon},\overline{\rho})} = \begin{cases} a_{\alpha_0}a_{\alpha_1}\ldots a_{\alpha_{k-1}}, \text{ if } (\alpha_k,\alpha_{k+1},\ldots,\alpha_{kt-1}) = (\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{k(t-1)-1}), \\ \quad (l_k,l_{k+1},\ldots,l_{kt-1}) = (p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_{k(t-1)-1}) \text{ and the mapping} \\ \quad ks+i \to k(s+\alpha'_{ks+i})+l'_{ks+i},\ 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t,\ 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1, \text{ of the set} \\ \quad \{0,1,2,\ldots,k(t+1)-1\} \text{ is injective, where } \alpha'_{ks+i} = \alpha_{ks+i},\ l'_{ks+i} = l_{ks+i}, \\ \quad \text{if } 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1,\ 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1, \text{ and} \\ \quad (\alpha'_{kt},\alpha'_{kt+1},\ldots,\alpha'_{k(t+1)-1}) = (\varepsilon_{k(t-1)},\varepsilon_{k(t-1)+1},\ldots,\varepsilon_{kt-1}), \\ \quad (l'_{kt},l'_{kt+1},\ldots,l'_{k(t+1)-1}) = (p_{k(t-1)},p_{k(t-1)+1},\ldots,p_{kt-1}), \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Matrix $A_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_{-r},a_{-r+1},\ldots,a_{-r+t})$ defined absolutely identically with help of the set $V_{r,t}^{[k]}$.

Theorem 5.

$$R\left(x;\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i}P_n^{-r+i}\right)\otimes J_k\right) = \mathrm{Tr}((A_{r,t}^{[[k]]}(a_{-r}x,a_{-r+1}x,\ldots,a_{-r+t}x))^n) =$$
$$= \mathrm{Tr}((A_{0,t}^{[[k]]}(a_{-r}x,a_{-r+1}x,\ldots,a_{-r+t}x))^n),$$

$$\mathrm{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i}P_n^{-r+i}\right)\otimes J_k\right) = \mathrm{Tr}((A_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_{-r},a_{-r+1},\ldots,a_{-r+t}))^n) =$$
$$= \mathrm{Tr}((A_{0,t}^{[k]}(a_{-r},a_{-r+1},\ldots,a_{-r+t}))^n), \quad n \geqslant 1.$$

Theorem 6. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, let $a_{-r},a_{-r+1},\ldots,a_{-r+t}$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let $(\overline{\varepsilon},\overline{\rho}) \in V_{r,t}$, let $\overline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{kt-1})$ and $\overline{\rho} = (\rho_0,\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_{kt-1})$, let the mapping $ks+i \to k(s+\varepsilon_{ks+i})+\rho_{ks+i}$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$ be a bijection of the set*

$\{0, 1, \dots, kt-1\}$ *onto itself. Then for all* $n \geqslant 1$

$$R\Bigg(x; \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{-r+i} T_n^{(-r+i)}\Big) \otimes J_k\Bigg) =$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}) \in W_{r,t}^{[k]} \\ \overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{kt-1}) \\ s + \alpha_{ks+i} \geqslant 0 \textit{ for all } s, \\ 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1, \textit{ and } i, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1.}} \Big(A_{r,t}^{[[k]]}(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \dots, a_{-r+t}x)\Big)^n [\mathbf{L}((\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta})) \mid \mathbf{L}((\overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\rho}))].$$

$$per\Bigg(\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T_n^{(-r+i)}\Big) \otimes J_k\Bigg) =$$
$$\sum_{\substack{(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}) \in V_{r,t}^{[k]} \\ \overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{kt-1}) \\ s + \alpha_{ks+i} \geqslant 0 \textit{ for all } s, \\ 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1, \textit{ and } i, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1.}} \Big(A_{r,t}^{[[k]]}(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \dots, a_{-r+t}x)\Big)^n [\mathbf{L}((\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta})) \mid \mathbf{L}((\overline{\varepsilon}, \overline{\rho}))].$$

Theorem 7. *Let* $t \geqslant 1$, $k \geqslant 1$, *and* $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$. *Then*

$$|W_{r,t}^{[k]}| = \sum_{s=1}^{kt} (-1)^{kt-s} \sum_{\Big\{\{1^{\langle k \rangle}, 2^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle k \rangle}\} = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{s} K_i\Big\} \in \Pi(\{1^{\langle k \rangle}, 2^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle k \rangle}\})} \Bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{s} (|K_i| - 1)!\Bigg) \times$$
$$\times \Bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \Big(k(t+1) + \delta_{\min K_i, \max K_i} + \min K_i - \max K_i\Big)\Bigg),$$

$$|V_{r,t}^{[k]}| = \sum_{s=1}^{kt} (-1)^{kt-s} \sum_{\Big\{\{1^{\langle k \rangle}, 2^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle k \rangle}\} = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{s} K_i\Big\} \in \Pi(\{1^{\langle k \rangle}, 2^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle k \rangle}\})} \Bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{s} (|K_i| - 1)!\Bigg) \times$$
$$\times \Bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \Big(k(t+1) + \min K_i - \max K_i\Big)\Bigg).$$

The basis for proof of the theorems 1 — 3 are the following fundamental characteristics of the matrices $K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)$ and $\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)$, $0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt$.

Theorem 8. *Let* $k \geqslant 1$ *and* $t \geqslant 2$, *let* a_0, a_1, $\dots$, a_t *be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let* $\overline{\alpha}$, $\overline{\beta} \in G_t^{[k]}$, *let* $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$. *Assume that* $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n+1$, $\overline{\gamma} \in G_t^{[k]}$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n+1$ *and* $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \not\supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\}$. *Then* $(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^n [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = 0$.

Proof. Use induction on n. Without loss of generality, we can assume that a_0, a_1, $\dots$, a_t be independent variables. Let $n = 1$. Assume that

$$(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] \neq 0.$$

Let $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1 \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t \rangle})$. Then from definition of the matrix $K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)$ it follows that there exists p_1, p_2, $\dots$, p_{t-1}, v, such that $0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant l_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \leqslant v \leqslant k$, and

Let $\overline{\gamma} = (2^{\langle q_2 \rangle}, 3^{\langle q_3 \rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle q_t \rangle})$. Then $q_i \leqslant l_{i-1} - p_{i-1}$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, $\overline{\gamma} - 1 = (1^{\langle q_2 \rangle}, 2^{\langle q_3 \rangle}, \ldots, (t-1)^{\langle q_t \rangle})$. But $q_i \leqslant l_{i-1} - p_{i-1} \leqslant l_{i-1}$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and therefore $\{\overline{\gamma} - 1\} \subseteq \{\overline{\alpha}\}$. Obtained contradiction prove validity equality (1) for $n = 1$. Let $2 \leqslant n \leqslant t - 1$ and $t \geq 3$. Assume that the theorem 6 is valid for $n - 1$. We show that then

$$((K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})]) \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = 0$$

for all $\overline{\varepsilon} \in G_t^{[k]}$. Really, let $\overline{\varepsilon} \in G_t^{[k]}$ and $(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] \neq 0$. Since $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n+1 > (n-1)+1$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n+1 > (n-1)+1$ then from validity of the theorem 6 for $n-1$ it follows that $\{\overline{\varepsilon}\} \supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - (n-1)\}$. But $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \not\supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\} = \{(\overline{\gamma} - (n-1)) - 1\}$ and from proved above validity of theorem 6 for $n = 1$ it follows that $(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})] = 0$. Even if $(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = 0$, then the more so,

$$((K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})]) \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] &= \\ = \sum_{\overline{\varepsilon} \in G_t^{[k]}} ((K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)&[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})]) \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 9. *Let* $k \geqslant 1$, *and* $t \geqslant 2$, *let* $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ *be elements in a commutative ring with unity let* $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \in G_t^{[k]}$, *let* $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t - 1$. *Assume that* $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n + 1$, $\overline{\gamma} \in G_t^{[k]}$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n + 1$, *and* $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = \\ &\quad = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \tbinom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i)} \tbinom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}\right) \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma} - n)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})]. \quad (2)\end{aligned}$$

Proof by induction on n. Let $n = 1$, $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1 \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2 \rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t \rangle})$, $\overline{\gamma} = (2^{\langle q_2 \rangle}, 3^{\langle q_3 \rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle q_t \rangle})$.

Case 1. $(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] \neq 0$. Then there exists $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{t-1}, v$ such that $0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant l_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t - 1$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \leqslant v \leqslant k$ and $\overline{\beta} = \left(1^{\left\langle k - v + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1 - p_1 \rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2 - p_2 \rangle}, \ldots \right.$ $\left. \ldots, t^{\langle l_{t-1} - p_{t-1} \rangle}\right)$. Then from definition of the matrix $K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = \\ &\quad = \binom{l_1}{p_1}\binom{l_2}{p_2} \ldots \binom{l_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}} \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ v - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \end{matrix}\right) a_0^{v - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i} a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}} \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t, k-v)} (d+v)! \tbinom{k}{d+v} \tbinom{l_t}{d} a_t^d,\end{aligned}$$

$$(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-1))\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}\setminus\overline{\gamma})]=$$

$$=\binom{l_1-q_2}{p_1}\binom{l_2-q_3}{p_2}\ldots\binom{l_{t-1}-q_t}{p_{t-1}}\binom{k}{v-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i}a_0^{v-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i}a_1^{p_1}a_2^{p_2}\ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}}\times$$

$$\times\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t,k-v)}(d+v)!\tbinom{k}{d+v}\tbinom{l_t}{d}a_t^d,$$

since $\overline{\gamma}-1=(1^{\langle q_2\rangle},2^{\langle q_3\rangle},\ldots,(t-1)^{\langle q_t\rangle})$, $\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-1)=(1^{\langle l_1-q_2\rangle},2^{\langle l_2-q_3\rangle},\ldots,(t-1)^{\langle l_{t-1}-q_t\rangle},t^{\langle l_t\rangle})$, $\overline{\beta}\setminus\overline{\gamma}=\left(1^{\left\langle k-v+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i\right\rangle},2^{\langle l_1-p_1-q_2\rangle},3^{\langle l_2-p_2-q_3\rangle},\ldots,t^{\langle l_{t-1}-p_{t-1}-q_t\rangle}\right)$. Therefore

$$(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha})\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})]=$$

$$=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_i}{p_i}\binom{l_i-q_{i+1}}{p_i}^{-1}\right)(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-1))\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}\setminus\overline{\gamma})].$$

From equality $\binom{a}{b}\binom{b}{c}=\binom{a}{c}\binom{a-c}{a-b}$ it follows that $\binom{l_i}{p_i}\binom{l_i-p_i}{q_{i+1}}=\binom{l_i}{l_i-p_i}\binom{l_i-p_i}{q_{i+1}}=\binom{l_i}{q_{i+1}}\binom{l_i-q_{i+1}}{p_i}$ and therefore $\binom{l_i}{p_i}\binom{l_i-q_{i+1}}{p_i}^{-1}=\binom{l_i}{q_{i+1}}\binom{l_i-p_i}{q_{i+1}}^{-1}$. Hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_i}{p_i}\binom{l_i-q_{i+1}}{p_i}^{-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_i}{q_{i+1}}\binom{l_i-p_i}{q_{i+1}}^{-1}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_i}{q_{i+1}}\right)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_i-p_i}{q_{i+1}}^{-1}=$$

$$=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-1}(i)}\right)\cdot\prod_{i=2}^{t}\binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t}\binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-1}(i)}\right)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{t}\binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}=$$

$$=\prod_{i=1}^{t}\binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-1}(i)}\binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}.$$

Case 2. $(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha})\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})]=0$. Then there exists no p_1, p_2, …, p_{t-1}, v such that $0\leqslant p_i\leqslant l_i-q_{i+1}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant t-1$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i\leqslant v\leqslant k$, and $\overline{\beta}=\left(1^{\left\langle k-v+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i\right\rangle},2^{\langle l_1-p_1\rangle},3^{\langle l_2-p_2\rangle},\ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots,t^{\langle l_{t-1}-p_{t-1}\rangle}\right)$. Therefore for all $p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_{t-1},v$, such that $0\leqslant p_i\leqslant l_i-q_{i+1}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant t-1$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i\leqslant v\leqslant k$, this inequality

$$\overline{\beta}\setminus\overline{\gamma}\neq\left(1^{\left\langle k-v+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i\right\rangle},2^{\langle l_1-p_1-q_2\rangle},3^{\langle l_2-p_2-q_3\rangle},\ldots,t^{\langle l_{t-1}-p_{t-1}-q_t\rangle}\right)$$

is correct. Since $\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-1)=(1^{\langle l_1-q_2\rangle},2^{\langle l_2-q_3\rangle},\ldots,(t-1)^{\langle l_{t-1}-q_t\rangle},t^{\langle l_t\rangle})$, then from definition of the matrix $K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t)$ it follows that

$$(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-1))\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}\setminus\overline{\gamma})]=0.$$

So, for $n=1$ theorem 7 is proved. Let $t-1\geqslant n\geqslant 2$. We show at first that if $\overline{\rho}\in G_t^{[k]}$ and there exists no $\overline{\varepsilon}\in G_t^{[k]}$ such that $\{\overline{\varepsilon}\}\supseteq\{\overline{\gamma}-n+1\}$ and $\overline{\rho}=\overline{\varepsilon}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-n+1)$, then

Really, then for certain $i \in \{\overline{\gamma}-n+1\}$ $m_{\overline{\rho}}(i)+m_{\overline{\gamma}-n+1}(i) > k$ and therefore $m_{\overline{\rho}}(i) > k-m_{\overline{\gamma}-n+1}(i)$. Since $i-1 \in \overline{\gamma}-n$ and $m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i-1) = m_{\overline{\gamma}-n+1}(i)$, then

$$m_{\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-n)}(i-1) \leqslant k - m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i-1) = k - m_{\overline{\gamma}-n+1}(i) < m_{\overline{\rho}}(i),$$

i. e. $m_{\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-n)}(i-1) < m_{\overline{\rho}}(i)$. Let $\overline{\gamma}_1 = i^{\langle m_{\overline{\rho}}(i)\rangle}$. Then $\{\overline{\gamma}_1\} \subseteq \{\overline{\rho}\}$, $i \geqslant (n+1)-n+1 = 2$, $\{\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n)\} \not\supseteq \{\overline{\gamma}_1 - 1\}$ and from theorem 6 it follows that

$$(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\rho})] = 0.$$

From the induction hypothesis about validity of theorem 7 for $n-1$ and from theorem 6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = \\
&\quad = \sum_{\overline{\varepsilon}\in G_t^{[k]}} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})] \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = \\
&\quad = \sum_{\substack{\overline{\varepsilon}\in G_t^{[k]},\\ \{\overline{\varepsilon}\}\supseteq\{\overline{\gamma}-n+1\}}} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})] \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon})) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = \\
&\quad = \sum_{\substack{\overline{\varepsilon}\in G_t^{[k]},\\ \{\overline{\varepsilon}\}\supseteq\{\overline{\gamma}-n+1\}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i)} \binom{m_{\overline{\varepsilon}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n+1}(i)}^{-1}\right) (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)) \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n)) | \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n+1))] \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{m_{\overline{\varepsilon}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n+1}(i)} \binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}\right) (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n+1)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})] = \\
&\quad = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i)} \binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{\overline{\varepsilon}\in G_t^{[k]},\\ \{\overline{\varepsilon}\}\supseteq\{\overline{\gamma}-n+1\}}} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-n)) | \mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon}\setminus(\overline{\gamma}-n+1))] \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\varepsilon} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n+1)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})] = \\
&\quad = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i)} \binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}\right) \sum_{\overline{\rho}\in G_t^{[k]}} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\rho})] \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\rho}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})] = \\
&\quad = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i)} \binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}\right) (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma}-n)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})].
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 1$, let a_0, a_1, $\ldots$, a_t be independent variables, let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\right) \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}\right) = \\
&\qquad = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial} K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\right) \cdot (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^{n-1}\right). \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will be speak, that passage from a vector $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1 \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t \rangle}) \in G_t^{[k]}$ to a vector $\overline{\beta} \in G_t^{[k]}$ there exists and be realized with help a vector $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{t-1}, v)$, if

$$\overline{\beta} = \left(1^{\left\langle k-v+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \right\rangle}\right), 2^{\langle l_1 - p_1 \rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2 - p_2 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1} - p_{t-1} \rangle}),\ 0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant l_i,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1,\ \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \leqslant v \leqslant k.$$

Let $\overline{\alpha}_1 = (1^{\langle l_1 \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t \rangle})$, $\overline{\alpha}_2, \overline{\alpha}_3, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_{n+1} \in G_t^{[k]}$ such that passage from the vector $\overline{\alpha}_i$ to the vector $\overline{\alpha}_{i+1}$ there exist and be realized with help a vector $(p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i)}, \dots, p_{t-1}^{(i)}, v_i)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Assume that $v_1 \geqslant 1$ and $l_n \leqslant k-1$. Let

$$\overline{\beta}_1 = (1^{\langle l_1 \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2 \rangle}, \dots, (n-1)^{\langle l_{n-1} \rangle}, n^{\langle l_n + 1 \rangle}, (n+1)^{\langle l_{n+1} \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t \rangle}),$$
$$\overline{\beta}_2 = \left(1^{\left\langle k-v_1+1+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i^{(1)} \right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1 - p_1^{(1)} \rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2 - p_2^{(1)} \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1} - p_{t-1}^{(1)} \rangle}\right).$$

Then passage from the vector $\overline{\beta}_1$ to the vector $\overline{\beta}_2$ there exist and realized with help vector $(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{n-1}^{(1)}, p_n^{(1)} + 1, p_{n+1}^{(1)}, \dots, p_{t-1}^{(1)}, v_1)$ if $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$ and $(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{t-1}^{(1)}, v_1 - 1)$ if $n = t$. Let $\overline{\beta}_3$, $\overline{\beta}_4$, $\dots$, $\overline{\beta}_{n+1} \in G_t^{[k]}$ such that passage from the vector $\overline{\beta}_i$ to $\overline{\beta}_{i+1}$ there exists and be realized with help the vector $(p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i)}, \dots, p_{t-1}^{(i)}, v_i)$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Definition $\overline{\beta}_3$, $\overline{\beta}_4$, $\dots$, $\overline{\beta}_{n+1}$ is correctly, since $m_{\overline{\beta}_2}(i) \geqslant m_{\overline{\alpha}_2}(i)$ for all i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Let $\overline{\alpha}_i = (1^{\langle l_1^{(i)} \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2^{(i)} \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t^{(i)} \rangle})$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$. We shall show by induction on n, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, that

$$\overline{\beta}_i = (1^{\langle l_1^{(i)} \rangle}, \dots, (i-2)^{\langle l_{i-2}^{(i)} \rangle}, (i-1)^{\langle l_{i-1}^{(i)} + 1 \rangle}, i^{\langle l_i^{(i)} \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t^{(i)} \rangle}).$$

If $i = 2$, then proposition follows from definition $\overline{\alpha}_2$ and $\overline{\beta}_2$. Let $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and for i proposition is proved. Then, by definition, $\overline{\alpha}_{i+1} = \left(1^{\left\langle k-v_i+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1^{(i)} - p_1^{(i)} \rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2^{(i)} - p_2^{(i)} \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1}^{(i)} - p_{t-1}^{(i)} \rangle}\right)$, $\overline{\beta}_{i+1} = \left(1^{\left\langle k-v_i+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1^{(i)} - p_1^{(i)} \rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2^{(i)} - p_2^{(i)} \rangle}, \dots, (i-1)^{\langle l_{i-2}^{(i)} - p_{i-2}^{(i)} \rangle}, i^{\langle l_{i-1}^{(i)} + 1 - p_{i-1}^{(i)} \rangle}, (i+1)^{\langle l_i^{(i)} - p_i^{(i)} \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1}^{(i)} - p_{t-1}^{(i)} \rangle}\right)$. We shall show now that if $v_1 > \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}$ and $\overline{\alpha}_{n+1} = \overline{\alpha}_1$, then

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_2)]\right) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_{i+1})] =$$
$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})].$$

Since $\overline{\alpha}_{n+1} = \overline{\alpha}_1$, then $l_n = \left(k - v_1 + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i^{(1)}\right) - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} p_j^{(j+1)}$ and, therefore, $v_1 \geqslant 1$ and $l_n \leqslant k-1$, since $v_1 > \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i^{(1)}$. From definition of matrix $K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$ it follows that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_2)]\right) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_{i+1})] =$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}\binom{k}{v_1 - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}}\right) a_0^{v_1 - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}} a_1^{p_1^{(1)}} a_2^{p_2^{(1)}} \ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(1)}} \times\right.$$

$$\left. \times \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)}, k-v_1)} (d{+}v_1)! \binom{k}{d{+}v_1}\binom{l_t}{d} a_t^d\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} \binom{k}{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_j^{(i)}}{p_j^{(i)}}\right) \times$$

$$\times a_0^{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} a_1^{p_1^{(i)}} a_2^{p_2^{(i)}} \ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(i)}} \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)}, k-v_i)} (d{+}v_i)! \binom{k}{d{+}v_i}\binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d} a_t^d.$$

As we proved above, $\overline{\beta}_i = (1^{\langle l_1^{(i)}\rangle}, \ldots, (i-2)^{\langle l_{i-2}^{(i)}\rangle}, (i-1)^{\langle l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1\rangle}, i^{\langle l_i^{(i)}\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t^{(i)}\rangle})$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$. Therefore, $\overline{\beta}_{n+1} = \overline{\beta}_1$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})] =$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\left(\binom{k}{v_1 - 1 - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}} \tbinom{l_1}{p_1^{(1)}}\tbinom{l_2}{p_2^{(1)}} \ldots \tbinom{l_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}^{(1)}}\tbinom{l_n+1}{p_n^{(1)}+1}\tbinom{l_{n+1}}{p_{n+1}^{(1)}} \ldots \tbinom{l_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}^{(1)}} a_0^{v_1 - 1 - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}} \times\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. \times\ a_1^{p_1^{(1)}} a_2^{p_2^{(1)}} \ldots a_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}^{(1)}} a_n^{p_n^{(1)}+1} a_{n+1}^{p_{n+1}^{(1)}} \ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(1)}} \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t, k-v_1)} (d+v_1)! \binom{k}{d+v_1}\binom{l_t}{d} a_t^d\right)\right) \times$$

$$\times \prod_{i=2}^{n} \binom{k}{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} \binom{l_1^{(i)}}{p_1^{(i)}}\binom{l_2^{(i)}}{p_2^{(i)}} \cdots \binom{l_{i-2}^{(i)}}{p_{i-2}^{(i)}}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}\binom{l_i^{(i)}}{p_i^{(i)}} \cdots \binom{l_{t-1}^{(i)}}{p_{t-1}^{(i)}} \times$$

$$\times a_0^{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} a_1^{p_1^{(i)}} a_2^{p_2^{(i)}} \ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(i)}} \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)}, k-v_i)} (d+v_i)! \binom{k}{d+v_i}\binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d} a_t^d,$$

if $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_t}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1)\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right)\cdot\prod_{i=2}^{t}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i)\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})]=$$

$$=\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial a_t}\left(\left(\binom{k}{v_1-1-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(1)}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}}\right)a_0^{v_1-1-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(1)}}a_1^{p_1^{(1)}}a_2^{p_2^{(1)}}\ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(1)}}\times\right.\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\left.\times\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t+1,k-v_1+1)}(d+v_1-1)!\binom{k}{d+v_1-1}\binom{l_t+1}{d}a_t^d\right)\right)\right)\prod_{i=2}^{t}\binom{k}{v_i-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}}\times$$

$$\times\binom{l_1^{(i)}}{p_1^{(i)}}\ldots\binom{l_{i-2}^{(i)}}{p_{i-2}^{(i)}}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}}\binom{l_i^{(i)}}{p_i^{(i)}}\ldots\binom{l_{t-1}^{(i)}}{p_{t-1}^{(i)}}a_0^{v_i-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}}a_1^{p_1^{(i)}}a_2^{p_2^{(i)}}\ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(1)}}\times$$

$$\times\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)},k-v_i)}(d+v_i)!\binom{k}{d+v_i}\binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d}a_t^d.$$

Let $s=v_1-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(1)}$. Then

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_1)\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_2)]\right)\prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_i)\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_{i+1})]$$

$$=s\binom{k}{s}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}}a_j^{p_j^{(1)}}\right)a_0^{s-1}\left(\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t,k-v_1)}(d+v_1)!\binom{k}{d+v_1}\binom{l_t}{d}a_t^d\right)\times$$

$$\times\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{k}{v_i-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_j^{(i)}}{p_j^{(i)}}a_j^{p_j^{(i)}}\right)a_0^{v_i-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}}\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)},k-v_i)}(d+v_i)!\binom{k}{d+v_i}\binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d}a_t^d,$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1)\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right)\prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i)\mid\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})]=$$

$$=(p_n^{(1)}+1)\cdot\frac{l_n+1}{p_n^{(1)}+1}\binom{k}{s-1}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}\binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}}a_j^{p_j^{(1)}}\right)a_0^{s-1}\left(\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t,k-v_1)}(d+v_1)!\binom{k}{d+v_1}\binom{l_t}{d}a_t^d\right)\times$$

$$\times\left(\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}}\right)\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{k}{v_i-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}}\left(\prod_{j\in N_{t-1}\setminus\{i-1\}}\binom{l_j^{(i)}}{p_j^{(i)}}\right)a_0^{v_i-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}}a_1^{p_1^{(i)}}a_2^{p_2^{(i)}}\ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(i)}}\times$$

$$\times\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)},k-v_i)}(d+v_i)!\binom{k}{d+v_i}\binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d}a_t^d$$

if $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_t}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right)\prod_{i=2}^{t}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})] =$$

$$= \binom{k}{s-1} a_0^{s-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}} a_j^{p_j^{(1)}}\right) \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\min(l_t+1,k-v_1+1)} (d+v_1-1)! \binom{k}{d+v_1-1} \binom{l_t+1}{d} d a_t^{d-1}\right) \times$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{i=2}^{t} \binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{t} \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \end{matrix}\right) \left(\prod_{j \in N_{t-1}\setminus\{i-1\}} \binom{l_j^{(i)}}{p_j^{(i)}}\right) a_0^{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j^{p_j^{(i)}}\right) \times$$

$$\times \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)},k-v_i)} (d+v_i)! \binom{k}{d+v_i} \binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d} a_t^d =$$

$$= (l_t+1) \binom{k}{s-1} a_0^{s-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}} a_j^{p_j^{(1)}}\right) \left(\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t,k-v_1)} (d+v_1)! \binom{k}{d+v_1} \binom{l_t}{d} a_t^d\right) \times$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n} \binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \end{matrix}\right) \left(\prod_{j \in N_{t-1}\setminus\{i-1\}} \binom{l_j^{(i)}}{p_j^{(i)}}\right) a_0^{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j^{p_j^{(i)}}\right) \times$$

$$\times \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)},k-v_i)} (d+v_i)! \binom{k}{d+v_i} \binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d} a_t^d.$$

Therefore, for all n, $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$, this equality

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right)\prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})] =$$

$$= (l_n+1) \binom{k}{s-1} a_0^{s-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \binom{l_j}{p_j^{(1)}} a_j^{p_j^{(1)}}\right) \left(\sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t,k-v_1)} (d+v_1)! \binom{k}{d+v_1} \binom{l_t}{d} a_t^d\right) \times$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n} \binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \end{matrix}\right) \left(\prod_{j \in N_{t-1}\setminus\{i-1\}} \tbinom{l_j^{(i)}}{p_j^{(i)}}\right) a_0^{v_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j^{p_j^{(i)}}\right) \times$$

$$\times \sum_{d=0}^{\min(l_t^{(i)},k-v_i)} (d+v_i)! \binom{k}{d+v_i} \binom{l_t^{(i)}}{d} a_t^d.$$

is correct. From the equality $l_j^{(i+1)} = l_{j-1}^{(i)} - p_{j-1}^{(i)}$, $2 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, it follows that $l_i^{(i+1)} = l_{i-1}^{(i)} - p_{i-1}^{(i)}$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Since $l_1^{(2)} = k-s$, then induction on i give that

$l_{i-1}^{(i)} = k - s - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{i-2} p_j^{(j+1)}$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Therefore

$$s\binom{k}{s}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}} = k\binom{k-1}{s-1}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}} = (k-s+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}} =$$

$$= (k-s+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{k-s-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{i-2} p_j^{(j+1)}}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}} =$$

$$= (k-s+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\cdot\frac{(k-s)!}{\left(k-s-\sum\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}\right)!\prod\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}!} =$$

$$= \binom{k}{s-1}\cdot\frac{(k-s+1)!}{\left(k-s-\sum\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}\right)!\prod\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}!} = \binom{k}{s-1}\cdot\frac{(k-s+1)!}{l_n!\prod\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}!},$$

$$(l_n+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{l_{i-1}^{(i)}+1}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}} = (l_n+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\binom{k-s+1-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{i-2} p_j^{(j+1)}}{p_{i-1}^{(i)}} =$$

$$= (l_n+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\times\frac{(k-s+1)!}{\left(k-s+1-\sum\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}\right)!\prod\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}!} = (l_n+1)\binom{k}{s-1}\cdot\frac{(k-s+1)!}{(l_n+1)!\prod\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}!} =$$

$$= \binom{k}{s-1}\cdot\frac{(k-s+1)!}{l_n!\prod\limits_{i=2}^{n} p_{i-1}^{(i)}!},$$

$1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$. Therefore, if $\overline{\alpha}_{n+1} = \overline{\alpha}_1$, then $\overline{\beta}_{n+1} = \overline{\beta}_1$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_2)]\right)\prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_{i+1})] =$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right)\prod_{i=2}^{n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})].$$

And invercely, let $\overline{\beta} = (1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle})$, $\overline{\beta}_2$, …, $\overline{\beta}_{n+1} \in G_t^{[k]}$ be such that passage from the vector $\overline{\beta}_i$ to the vector $\overline{\beta}_{i+1}$ be realized with help the vector $(p_1^{\langle i\rangle}, p_2^{\langle i\rangle}, \ldots p_{t-1}^{\langle i\rangle}, v_i)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Assume that $v_1 \leqslant k-1$ and $p_n^{(1)} \geqslant 1$, $l_n \geqslant 1$. Let

$$\overline{\alpha}_1 = (1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \ldots, (n-1)^{\langle l_{n-1}\rangle}, n^{\langle l_n-1\rangle}, (n+1)^{\langle l_{n+1}\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle}),$$

$$\overline{\alpha}_2 = \left(1^{\left\langle k-v_1-1+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}\right\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1-p_1^{(1)}\rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2-p_2^{(1)}\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_{t-1}-p_{t-1}^{(1)}\rangle}\right).$$

Then passage from the vector $\overline{\alpha}_1$ to $\overline{\alpha}_2$ be realized with help the vector

$$(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \ldots, p_{n-1}^{(1)}, p_n^{(1)}-1, p_{n+1}^{(1)}, \ldots, p_{t-1}^{(1)}, v_1) \text{ if } 1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$$

and $(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \ldots, p_{t-1}^{(1)}, v_1+1)$ if $n = t$. Let $\overline{\alpha}_3$, $\overline{\alpha}_4$, …, $\overline{\alpha}_{n+1} \in G_t^{[k]}$, such that passage from $\overline{\alpha}_i$

definition of $\overline{\alpha}_3$, $\overline{\alpha}_4$, …, $\overline{\alpha}_{n+1}$ is correctly. Really then $l_n = \Big(k - v_1 + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}\Big) - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} p_j^{(j+1)}$. Let $\overline{\beta}_i = (1^{\langle l_1^{(i)}\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2^{(i)}\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t^{(i)}\rangle})$, $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$. Then

$$l_{i-1}^{(i)} - 1 = \left(k - v_1 - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(1)}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} p_j^{(j+1)} \geqslant l_n - 1 \geqslant 0$$

and $\overline{\alpha}_i = (1^{\langle l_1^{(i)}\rangle}, \ldots, (i-2)^{\langle l_{i-2}^{(i)}\rangle}, (i-1)^{\langle l_{i-1}^{(i)}-1\rangle}, i^{\langle l_i^{(i)}\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t^{(i)}\rangle})$. Therefore, if $\overline{\beta}_{n+1} = \overline{\beta}_1$, then $\overline{\alpha}_{n+1} = \overline{\alpha}_1$ and, repeating stated above computations we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_2)]\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}_{i+1})] =$$
$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}(K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_1) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_2)]\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} (K_t^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_i) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta}_{i+1})].$$

Since the maps

$$(\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_n, \overline{\alpha}_1) \to (\overline{\beta}_1, \overline{\beta}_2, \ldots, \overline{\beta}_n, \overline{\beta}_1) \text{ and } (\overline{\beta}_1, \overline{\beta}_2, \ldots, \overline{\beta}_n, \overline{\beta}_1) \to (\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_n, \overline{\alpha}_1)$$

are injective, then theorem 10 is proved. ∎

Theorem 11. *Let $k \geqslant 1$, $t \geqslant 1$, $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$, $0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt-1$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be independent variables. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) =$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\Pi_{r+1,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r+1,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) \quad (4)$$

Theorem 12. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 2$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \in G_{r,t}^{[k]}$, let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$. Assume that $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n+1$, $\overline{\gamma} \in G_{l,t}^{[k]}$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n+1$ and $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \not\supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\}$. Then*

$$\Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^n [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] = 0$$

Theorem 13. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 2$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity, let $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \in G_{r,t}^{[k]}$, let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$. Assume that $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n+1$, $\overline{\gamma} \in G_{l,t}^{[k]}$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n+1$, and $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\}$. Then*

$$\Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^n [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta})] =$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{m_{\overline{\alpha}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}-n}(i)} \binom{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}^{-1}\right) \Big(\Pi_{r-l,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^n [\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma} - n)) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})]. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 14. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 1$, let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be independent variables. Then*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{kt} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{kt} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) \tag{6}$$

Proof. Since $\Pi_{0,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t) = k!a_0^k$, $\Pi_{kt,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t) = k!a_t^k$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}\Pi_{kt,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t) = = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\Pi_{0,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t) = 0$ and from the theorem 11 it follows that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{kt} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{kt-1} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{kt-1} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\Pi_{r+1,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r+1,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{r=1}^{kt} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{kt} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big) \cdot \Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^{n-1}\Big)$$

■

Theorem 15. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant 1$, let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be independent variables. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1x, \ldots, a_tx^t)\Big)^n\Big) = x^{rn} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\Big)^n\Big) \tag{7}$$

Proof. Let $\overline{\alpha_i} = (1^{\langle l_1^{(i)}\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2^{(i)}\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t^{(i)}\rangle}) \in G_{r,t}^{[k]}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$. Assume that $\overline{\alpha_1} \to \overline{\alpha_2} \to \cdots \to \overline{\alpha_{n+1}}$ is a closed way in the oriented graph $\Gamma_{r,t}^{[k]}$ corresponding the matrix $\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$. Then $\overline{\alpha_1} = \overline{\alpha_{n+1}}$ and there exists $p_j^{(i)}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, such that $0 \leqslant p_j^{(i)} \leqslant l_j^i$, $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \leqslant k - l_t^{(i)}$, and $l_1^{(i+1)} = l_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}$, $l_j^{(i+1)} = l_{j-1}^{(i)} - p_{j-1}^{(i)}$, $2 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$,

$$\Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1x, \ldots, a_tx^t)\Big)[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha_i} \mid \mathbf{L}(\alpha_{i+1}))] = \\ = k! \begin{pmatrix} k \\ l_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Bigg(\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_j^{(i)} \\ p_j^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}\Bigg) a_0^{k - l_t^{(i)} - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}} a_1^{p_1^{(i)}} a_2^{p_2^{(i)}} \ldots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(i)}} a_t^{l_t^{(i)}}.$$

Hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1x, \dots, a_tx^t)\Big)[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha_i} \mid \mathbf{L}(\alpha_{i+1}))] =$$

$$= (k!)^n\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\begin{pmatrix} k \\ l_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} p_j^{(i)}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} l_1^{(i)} \\ p_1^{(i)}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} l_2^{(i)} \\ p_2^{(i)}\end{pmatrix}\cdots\begin{pmatrix} l_{t-1}^{(i)} \\ p_{t-1}^{(i)}\end{pmatrix}\cdot a_0^{k-l_t^{(i)}-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1}p_j^{(i)}} a_1^{p_1^{(i)}} a_2^{p_2^{(i)}} \dots a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}^{(i)}} a_t^{l_t^{(i)}}\right] \times$$

$$\times\, x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(tl_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)})} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))[\mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha_i}) \mid \mathbf{L}(\overline{\alpha_{i+1}})]\right)x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(tl_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)})}.$$

We will show that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(tl_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)}) = rn$. From the equalities $l_j^{(i+1)} = l_{j-1}^{(i)} - p_{j-1}^{(i)}$, $2 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, it follows that $jl_j^{i+1} - l_j^{(i+1)} = (j-1)l_j^{(i+1)} = (j-1)l_{j-1}^{(i)} - (j-1)p_{j-1}^{(i)}$ and therefore $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t} jl_j^{(i+1)} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t} l_j^{(i+1)} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t}(j-1)l_{j-1}^{(i)} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t}(j-1)p_{j-1}^{(i)}$, i.d. $\sum\limits_{i=2}^{n+1}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t} jl_j^{(i)} - \sum\limits_{i=2}^{n+1}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t} l_j^{(i)} = = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jl_j^{(i)} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)}$, i.d. $t\sum\limits_{i=2}^{n+1} l_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=2}^{t-1} jl_j^{n+1} + \sum\limits_{i=2}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jl_j^{(i)} - \sum\limits_{i=2}^{n+1}(r - l_1^{(i)}) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jl_j^{(1)} + \sum\limits_{i=2}^{n} l_1^{(i)} + + \sum\limits_{i=2}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t-1} jl_j^{(i)} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)}$, i.d. $t\Big(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_t^{(i)}\Big) + t(l_t^{n+1} - l_t^{(1)}) + \Big(\sum\limits_{j=2}^{t-1} jl_j^{n+1}\Big) - rn + l_1^{(n+1)} = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jl_j^{(1)} - - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)}$. Hence $t\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)} = rn + \Big(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t} jl_j^{(1)}\Big) - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t} jl_j^{(n+1)}$. Since $\overline{\alpha_1} = \overline{\alpha_{n+1}}$, then $l_j^{(1)} = l_j^{(n+1)}$ for all j, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, and therefore $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(tl_t^{(i)} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{t-1} jp_j^{(i)}) = rn$. ■

Definition 1. Let $n \geqslant 1$, $P_n(x) = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n}$,

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j - i = 1, \\ x, & \text{if } j - i \neq 1,\ j - i \equiv 1 (\bmod n), \\ 0, & \text{ if } j - i \not\equiv 1 (\bmod n). \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Then

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j - i = 1, \\ x, & \text{if } i = n,\ j = 1, \\ 0, & \text{ if } j - i \not\equiv 1 (\bmod n). \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Lemma 1. *let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-n \leqslant s \leqslant n$, $(P_n(x))^s = (b_{i,j})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n}$. Then*

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } j - i = s, \\ x, & \textit{if } j - i \neq s,\ j - i \equiv s (\bmod n) \\ 0, & \textit{ if } j - i \not\equiv s (\bmod n)\ . \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

if $0 \leqslant s \leqslant n$ *and*

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } j-i = s, \\ x^{-1}, & \textit{if } j-i \neq s,\ j-i \equiv s(\bmod n) \\ 0, & \textit{if } j-i \not\equiv s(\bmod n) \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

if $-n \leqslant s \leqslant -1$. *Hence* $(P_n(x))^n = xI_n$,

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } j-i = s, \\ x, & \textit{if } j-i = s-n, \\ 0, & \textit{if } j-i \not\equiv s(\bmod n) \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

if $0 \leqslant s \leqslant n$ *and*

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } j-i = s, \\ x^{-1}, & \textit{if } j-i = s+n \\ 0, & \textit{if } j-i \not\equiv s(\bmod n) \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

if $-n \leqslant s \leqslant -1$.

Let $n \geqslant 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by definition,

$$\delta_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \not\equiv 0(\bmod n), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \equiv 0(\bmod n). \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Theorem 16. *Let* $k \geqslant 1$ *and* $t \geqslant 0$, *let* $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ *be independent variables. Then for all* $n \geqslant 1$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(N_{nk})} \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{nk}) \in \{0,1,\ldots,t\}^{nk} \\ \left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right] - \left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right] \equiv \alpha_i (\bmod n)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{nk} a_{\alpha_i}\right) x^{\mathrm{Card}\{i \in N_{nk} | \sigma(i) < i, \alpha_i \not\equiv 0(\bmod n)\} + \sum_{i=1}^{nk} \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]} =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{kt} (\mathrm{Tr}((\Pi^{[k]}_{r,t}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n)) x^r \tag{15}$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(N_{nk})$, let $i \in N_{nk}$, let $i = ks_i + p_i$, let $0 \leqslant s_i \leqslant n-1$, let $1 \leqslant p_i \leqslant k$, let $\left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right] = \left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right] \equiv \alpha_i(\bmod n)$, let $0 \leqslant \alpha_i \leqslant t$. Then there exists l_i, $1 \leqslant l_i \leqslant k$, such that $\sigma(i) = k[(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] + l_i$, where $[(s)_0 \bmod n]$ is the least nonnegative residue of the integer $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ modulo n. Let $\sigma(i) > i$. Then we have

Case 1. $[(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] = s_i$, $l_i > p_i$.

In the case 1 $\alpha_i \equiv 0(\bmod n)$ and $\sigma(i) = ks_i + l_i$. In the case $[(s_i\alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] = s_i + \alpha_i - \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n$ and $\sigma(i) = k(s_i + \alpha_i - \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n) + l_i$. Let $\sigma(i) \leqslant i$. Then we have

Case 3. $[(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] = s_i, \quad l_i \leqslant p_i.$

Case 4. $[(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] < s_i.$

In the case 3 $\alpha_i \equiv 0(\bmod n)$ and $\sigma(i) = ks_i + l_i$. In the case 4 $[(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] = s_i + \alpha_i - n - -\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n = s_i + \alpha_i - (\delta_n(\alpha_i) + \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right])n$ and $\sigma(i) = k(s_i + \alpha_i - (\delta_n(\alpha_i) + \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right])n) + l_i$. Let $A_1 = \{i \in N_{nk} \mid \alpha_i \equiv 0(\bmod n), l_i > p_i\}$, $A_2 = \{i \in N_{nk} \mid [(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] > s_i\}$, $A_3 = \{i \in N_{nk} \mid \alpha_i \equiv 0(\bmod n), l_i \leqslant p_i\}$, $A_4 = \{i \in N_{nk} \mid [(s_i + \alpha_i)_0 \bmod n] < s_i\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right] &= \sum_{i\in A_1\cup A_3} s_i + \sum_{i\in A_2} s_i + \alpha_i - \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n + \sum_{i\in A_4} s_i + \alpha_i - \Big(\delta_n(\alpha_i) + \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]\Big)n = \\
&= \sum_{i\in A_1\cup A_3} s_i + \alpha_i - \alpha_i + \sum_{i\in A_2} s_i + \alpha_i - \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n + \sum_{i\in A_4} s_i + \alpha_i - (\delta_n(\alpha_i) + \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right])n = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{nk} s_i + \sum_{i=1}^{nk} \alpha_i - \sum_{i\in A_1\cup A_3}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n - \sum_{i\in A_2}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n - \sum_{i\in A_4}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n - \sum_{i\in A_4}\delta_n(\alpha_i)n = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{nk} s_i + \sum_{i=1}^{nk} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]n - \sum_{\sigma(i)<i, \alpha_i\not\equiv 0(\bmod n)} \delta_n(\alpha_i)n = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{nk} s_i + \sum_{i=1}^{nk} \alpha_i - \Bigg(\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]\Bigg) + \operatorname{Card}\{i \in N_{nk} \mid \sigma(i) < i, \alpha_i \not\equiv 0(\bmod n)\}\Bigg)n,
\end{aligned}$$

$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right] = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk} s_i$. But $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right] = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right]$, and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{nk}\alpha_i = (\operatorname{Card}\{i \in N_{nk} \mid \sigma(i) < i, \alpha_i \not\equiv 0(\bmod n)\} + \sum_{i=1}^{nk}[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}])n.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\sigma\in \operatorname{Sym}(N_{nk})} \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{nk})\in\{0,1,\ldots,t\}^{nk} \\ \left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right]-\left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right]\equiv\alpha_i(\bmod n)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{nk} a_{\alpha_i}\right) = \operatorname{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_i P_n^i\right)\otimes J_k\right)$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$, then from the theorem 3 it follows that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in \operatorname{Sym}(N_{nk})} \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{nk})\in\{0,1,\ldots,t\}^{nk} \\ \left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right]-\left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right]\equiv\alpha_i(\bmod n)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{nk} a_{\alpha_i}x^{\alpha_i}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{kt}(\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))^n)x^{rn}, \ n \geqslant 1.$$

Hence and from the equality $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk}\alpha_i = \big(\operatorname{Card}\{i \in N_{nk} \mid \sigma(i) < i, \alpha_i \not\equiv 0(\bmod n)\} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]\big)n$ it follows that for all $n \geqslant 1$

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}(N_{nk})}\ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{nk})\in\{0,1,\ldots,t\}^{nk}\\ \left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right]-\left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right]\equiv\alpha_i(\bmod n)}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{nk}a_{\alpha_i}\right)\cdot x^{\mathrm{Card}\{i\in N_{nk}|\sigma(i)<i,\alpha_i\not\equiv 0(\bmod n)\}+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{nk}\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right]}=$$

$$=\sum_{r=0}^{kt}(\mathrm{Tr}(\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))^n)x^r.$$

■

Theorem 17. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then for all $n \geqslant t+1$*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}(N_{nk})}\ \sum_{r=0}^{kt}x^r \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{nk})\in\{0,1,\ldots,t\}^{nk}\\ \left[\frac{\sigma(i)-1}{k}\right]-\left[\frac{i-1}{k}\right]\equiv\alpha_i(\bmod n)}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{nk}a_{\alpha_i}\right)\cdot x^{\mathrm{Card}\{i\in N_{nk}|\sigma(i)<i,\alpha_i\neq 0\}}=$$

$$=\sum_{r=0}^{kt}(\mathrm{Tr}((\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))^n))x^r. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Since $0 \leqslant \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right] \leqslant \left[\frac{t}{n}\right] = 0$, then $\left[\frac{\alpha_i}{n}\right] = 0$ for all $i \in N_{nk}$. If $t < n$ and $\alpha_i \equiv 0(\bmod n)$, then $\alpha_i = 0$. ■

Theorem 18. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then for all $n \geqslant 1$*

$$\mathrm{per}\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{t}a_i(P_n(x))^i\Big)\otimes J_k\Big)=\sum_{r=0}^{kt}(\mathrm{Tr}((\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))^n)x^r. \quad (17)$$

Lemma 2. *Let $k, t \geqslant 1$. Then $|G_{r,t}^{[k]}| = \binom{t+r-1}{r}$ if $0 \leqslant r \leqslant k$, and $G_{r,t}^{[k]} = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{k}|G_{r-i,t-1}^{[k]}|$ if $k \leqslant r \leqslant kt$. $|G_{r,t}^{[k]}| = |G_{kt-r,t}^{[k]}|$, $|G_{r,t}^{[k]}| = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{\min(k,r)}|G_{r-i,t-1}^{[k]}|$, $0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt$.*

Theorem 19. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$, let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt$, let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then*

$$\det(xI_{|G_{r,t}^{[k]}|}-\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))=\det(xI_{|G_{kt-r,t}^{[k]}|}-\Pi_{kt-r,t}^{[k]}(a_t,a_{t-1},\ldots,a_0)). \quad (18)$$

Theorem 20. *Let $k \geqslant 1$, let a_0, a_1, $\ldots$, a_t be independent variables over a field F of characteristic 0. Then the polynomials*

$$\det(I_{|G_{r,t}^{[k]}|}-x\Pi_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t)),\ 0\leqslant r\leqslant kt\ \text{and}\ \det(I_{(k+1)^t}-xK_t^{[k]}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_t))$$

Theorem 21. *On the set $G^{[k]}_{r,t}$, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant kt$, we define the injective mapping $\phi_{r,t}$ as follows way: if $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_t\rangle}) \in G^{[k]}_{r,t}$, then $\phi_{r,t}(\overline{\alpha}) = (1^{\langle l_t\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1\rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2\rangle}, \ldots, t^{\langle l_{t-1}\rangle})$. Then $\phi_{r,t}$ is the substitution of the set $G^{[k]}_{r,t}$ and*

$$\det(\Pi^{[k]}_{r,t}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)) = (\operatorname{sign}\phi_{r,t}) \prod_{i=0}^{\min(k,r)} \left(k!\binom{k}{i} a_0^{k-i} a_t^i\right)^{|G^{[k]}_{r-i,t-1}|}, \tag{19}$$

$$\det(K^{[k]}_t(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{kt} \operatorname{sign}\phi_{r,t}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{k} \left(k!\binom{k}{i} a_0^{k-i} a_t^i\right)^{(k+1)^{t-1}}, \tag{20}$$

$$\operatorname{per}(\Pi^{[k]}_{r,t}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)) = \prod_{i=0}^{\min(k,r)} \left(k!\binom{k}{i} a_0^{k-i} a_t^i\right)^{|G^{[k]}_{r-i,t-1}|}. \tag{21}$$

Theorem 22. *Let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, let a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, $\ldots$, a_{-r+t} be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(R\left(x; \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T_n^{(-r+i)}\right) \otimes J_k\right)\right) y^n = (-1)^{\mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>})} \times \\
\times \Bigg[\sum_{\substack{\overline{\gamma} \in \bigcup_{l=rk}^{kt} G^{[k]}_{l,t} \\ \{\overline{\gamma}\} \supset \{1^{\langle k\rangle}, 2^{\langle k\rangle}, \ldots, r^{\langle k\rangle}\}}} (-1)^{\mathbf{L}(\overline{\gamma})} \det\big((I_{(k+1)^t} - yK^{[k]}_t(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x)) \times \\
\times (\mathbf{L}(\overline{\gamma}) | \mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, 2^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>}))\big)\Bigg] \cdot (\det(I_{(k+1)^t} - yK^{[k]}_t(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x)))^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(R\left(x; \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} P_n^{-r+i}\right) \otimes J_k\right)\right) y^n = \\
= -y\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,y} \det(I_{(k+1)^t} - yK^{[k]}_t(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x))\right) \times \\
\times \big(\det(I_{(k+1)^t} - yK^{[k]}_t(a_{-r}x, a_{-r+1}x, \ldots, a_{-r+t}x))\big)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} T_n^{(-r+i)}\right) \otimes J_k\right)\right) x^n = \\
= \left[\det\Big(\big(I_{|G^{[k]}_{rk,t}|} - x\Pi^{[k]}_{rk,t}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t})\big)\big(\mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>}) | \mathbf{L}(1^{<k>}, \ldots, r^{<k>})\big)\Big)\right] \times \\
\times \left(\det\Big(I_{|G^{[k]}_{rk,t}|} - x\Pi^{[k]}_{rk,t}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t})\Big)\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{per}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-r+i} P_n^{-r+i}\right) \otimes J_k\right)\right) x^n = \\
= -x \sum_{l=0}^{kt} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,x}\Big(\det\Big(I_{|G^{[k]}_{l,t}|} - x\Pi^{[k]}_{l,t}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t})\Big)\Big)\right] \times \\
\times \Big(\det\Big(I_{|G^{[k]}_{l,t}|} - x\Pi^{[k]}_{l,t}(a_{-r}, a_{-r+1}, \ldots, a_{-r+t})\Big)\Big)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Theorem 23. *Let $k \geqslant 2$, let $1 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_k = t$, let greatest common divisor of $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$ is equal to 1. Let $a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k}$ be independent variables over a field F of characteristic* 0, *$f(x) = x^t + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} a_{t_i} x^{t-t_i}$. Then the Galois group of the polynomial $f(x)$ over the rational function field $F(a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group* $\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ *of degree t.*

Theorem 24. *Let $k \leqslant 2$, let $1 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_k = t$, let greatest common divisor of $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$ equals 1. Assume that t is even, t_i is odd for all i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, Let $a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k}$ be independent variables over a field F of characteristic* 2, *$f(x) = x^t + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} a_{t_i} x^{t-t_i}$. Then the Galois group of the polynomial $f(x)$ over the rational function field $F(a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group* $\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ *of degree t.*

For convenience of the reader we shall remind [3, p.80] definition of the matrix

$$D_r(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t) = (a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}})_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta} \in_{r,t}}, \; 0 \leqslant r \leqslant t. \quad D_0(a_0) = a_0.$$

Let $1 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, $\overline{\alpha} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots i_r) \in_{r,t}$. If $i_r < t$ then

$$a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = \begin{cases} a_0, & \text{if } \overline{\beta} = (i_1+1, i_2+1, \ldots, i_r+1), \\ (-1)^k a_{i_k}, & \text{if } \overline{\beta} = (1, i_1+1, \ldots, \widehat{i_k+1}, \ldots, i_r+1),\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

If $i_r = t$, then

$$a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^r a_t, & \text{if } \overline{\beta} = (1, i_1+1, i_2+1, \ldots, i_{r-1}+1), \\ 0, & \text{if } \overline{\beta} \neq (1, i_1+1, i_2+1, \ldots, i_{r-1}+1). \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

It follows that

$$D_1(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t) = \Pi^{[1]}_{1,t}(a_0, -a_1, \ldots, -a_t). \tag{28}$$

Theorem 25. *Let $k \geqslant 2$, let $1 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_k = t$, let greatest common divisor of $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$ is equal to 1. Let $a_0, a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k}$ be independent variables over a field F of characteristic* 0, *let $a_i = 0$ for all $i \in N_{t-1} \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{k-1}\}$. Then the polynomial* $\det(xI_{\binom{t}{r}} - D_r(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))$ *is irreducible over the rational function field $F(a_0, a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k})$.*

Theorem 26. *Let $t \geqslant 2$, let $1 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_k = t$, let greatest common divisor of $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$ is equal to 1. Assume that t is even, t_i is odd for all i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$. Let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, let $a_0, a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k}$ be independent variables over a field F of characteristic* 0, *let $a_i = 0$ for all $i \in N_{t-1} \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{k-1}\}$. Then the polynomial* $\det(xI_{\binom{t}{r}} - \Pi^{[1]}_{r,t}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t))$ *is irreducible*

Theorem 27. *Let $t \geqslant 1$, let $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1$ and $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$, let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t$ be independent variables. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = -\operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} D_{s+1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_{s+1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) \quad (29)$$

Theorem 28. *Let $t \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t$, let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t$ be independent variables. Then*

$$\sum_{s=0}^{t} (-1)^s \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{s=0}^{t} (-1)^s \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big). \quad (30)$$

Proof. Since $D_0(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = a_0$, $D_t(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = (-1)^t a_t$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} D_t(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} D_0(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = 0$ and from the theorem 27 it follows, that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{t} (-1)^s \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} (-1)^s \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{s+1} \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} D_{s+1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_{s+1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{s=1}^{t} (-1)^s \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big) = \\ = \sum_{s=0}^{t} (-1)^s \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\Big) \cdot (D_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^{n-1}\Big)$$

■

Theorem 29. *Let $t \geqslant 2$, let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring, let $1 \leqslant r \leqslant t-1$, let $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \in Q_{r,t}$, let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$. Assume that $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n+1$, $\overline{\gamma} \in Q_{l,t}$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n+1$ and $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \not\supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\}$. Then*

$$\big(D_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_t)\big)^n [\mathbf{L}_{r,t}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}_{r,t}(\overline{\beta})] = 0. \quad (31)$$

Theorem 30. *Let $t \geqslant 2$, let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t$ be elements in a commutative ring, let $1 \leqslant r \leqslant t$, $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \in Q_{r,t}$, let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant t-1$. Assume, that $\max\{\overline{\beta}\} \geqslant n+1$, $\overline{\gamma} \in Q_{l,t}$, $\{\overline{\gamma}\} \subseteq \{\overline{\beta}\}$, $\min\{\overline{\gamma}\} \geqslant n+1$ and $\{\overline{\alpha}\} \supseteq \{\overline{\gamma} - n\}$. Then*

$$(D_r(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^n [\mathbf{L}_{r,t}(\overline{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{L}_{r,t}(\overline{\beta})] = (-1)^{\operatorname{Card}\{(i,j) \in \{\overline{\gamma}-n\} \times (\{\overline{\alpha}\} \setminus (\{\overline{\beta}-n\} \cap \{\overline{\alpha}\})) \mid i<j\}} \times \\ \times (D_{r-l}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t))^n [\mathbf{L}_{r-l,t}(\overline{\alpha} \setminus (\overline{\gamma} - n)) \mid \mathbf{L}_{r-l,t}(\overline{\beta} \setminus \overline{\gamma})] \quad (32)$$

Theorem 31. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0, let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ be algebraically independent variables over K and E be a algebraic extension of the field $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$. Let $D_{x_1}, D_{x_2}, \dots, D_{x_m}$ be the extensions, respectively, of the differentiations $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}$ in the field of rational functions $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ up to the differentiations in the field E. Assume that for some $y \in E$ will be $D_{x_1}(y) = D_{x_2}(y) = \dots = D_{x_m}(y) = 0$. Then the element y is algebraic over the field K.*

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of the element y over the field $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, $f(x) = x^k + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{k-i}$, $a_i \in K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then $y^k + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} a_i y^{k-i} = 0$ and applying differentiation D_{x_j}, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, we obtain that $ky^{k-1}D_{x_j}(y) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} a_i(k-i)y^{k-i-1}D_{x_j}(y) + {}$ $+ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}(D_{x^j}(a_i))y^{k-i} = 0$. Since by condition $D_{x_j}(y) = 0$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}(D_{x_j}(a_i))y^{k-i} = 0$. But $D_{x_j}(a_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i \in K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ and therefore the element y vanish by the polynomial $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i\big)x^{k-i}$ over the field $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ and $\deg\big(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i\big)x^{k-i}\big) \leqslant k-1$. If $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i \neq 0$ for some i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i\big)x^{k-i}$ is nonzero polynomial of degree $\leqslant k-1$, that contradict assumption, that k is degree of the minimal polynomial of the element y over the field $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$. Therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i = 0$ for all i, j, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$. Hence $a_i \in K$ for all i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. ■

Lemma 3. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0, $f(x,y) \in K[[x,y]]$, $f(x,y) = \sum\limits_{i,j \geqslant 0,\ i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j$. Assume that $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}$. Then*

$$a_{i,j} = \binom{i+j}{i} a_{0,i+j}, \tag{33}$$

$$a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}, \tag{34}$$

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{0,k}(x+y)^k \tag{35}$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} = \sum\limits_{\substack{i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 0 \\ i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}} i a_{i,j} x^{i-1} y^j$, $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y} = \sum\limits_{\substack{i \geqslant 0, j \geqslant 1 \\ i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}} j a_{i,j} x^i y^{j-1}$, then provided that $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} = {}$ $= \frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}$ it follows that $i a_{i,j} = (j+1)a_{i-1,j+1}$, $i \geqslant 1$. Hence $a_{i,j} = \frac{j+1}{i} a_{i-1,j+1} = \frac{j+1}{i} \cdot \frac{j+2}{i-1} a_{i-2,j+2} = {}$ $= \frac{j+1}{i} \cdot \frac{j+2}{i-1} \cdot \frac{j+3}{i-2} a_{i-3,j+3} = \frac{j+1}{i} \cdot \frac{j+2}{i-1} \cdot \frac{j+3}{i-2} \cdots \frac{j+i}{i-(i-1)} a_{i-i,j+i} = {}$ $= \frac{(j+i)(j+i-1)\dots(j+1)}{i!} a_{0,j+i} = \binom{j+i}{i} a_{0,j+i}$. By the equality (33) it follows that $a_{j,i} = \binom{j+i}{j} a_{0,j+i} = {}$ $= \binom{i+j}{i} a_{0,i+j} = a_{i,j}$, $f(x,y) = \sum\limits_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ i,j \geqslant 0}} a_{0,i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} x^i y^j = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{0,k} \sum\limits_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i \geqslant 0,\, j \geqslant 0,\, i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \binom{i+j}{i} x^i y^j = {}$ $= \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{0,k} \sum\limits_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} x^i y^{k-i} = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{0,k}(x+y)^k$.

Lemma 4. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0, $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in K[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m]]$,*

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \geqslant 0; i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m} x_1^{i_1}, x_2^{i_2}, \ldots, x_m^{i_m},\ m \geqslant 2.$$

Assume that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = \ldots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_m}$. Then

$$a_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m} = \frac{(i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m)!}{i_1! i_2! \ldots i_m!} a_{0,0,\ldots,0,i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m}, \tag{36}$$

$$a_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m} = a_{i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, i_{\sigma(m)}},\ \sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(N_m) \tag{37}$$

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{0,0,\ldots,0,k} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m)^k \tag{38}$$

Proof. Case $m = 2$ is proved in the lemma 3. We use induction on $m \geqslant 2$. Since

$$K[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m]] = (K[[x_m]])[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}]],$$

then

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{m-1} \geqslant 0; \\ j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}}} b_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{m-1}} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \ldots x_{m-1}^{j_{m-1}},$$

where $b_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{m-1}} \in K[x_m]$. Let $m \geqslant 3$. By the induction hypothesis for $m - 1$ it follows that

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{0,0,\ldots,0,k} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{m-1})^k \tag{39}$$

Let $y = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{m-1}$. Then y and x_m are algebraically independent elements over the field K and from equality (39) it follows that $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{i,j \geqslant 0;\, i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{i,j} y^i x_m^j$, $c_{i,j} \in K$. Since $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} = 1$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_m}$, then$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_m}$. By the lemma 3 it follows that $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k} (y + x_m)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k} (x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_m)^k$ and therefore $c_{0,k} = a_{0,0,\ldots,0,k}$. The equality (36) follows directly from(38). The equality (37) follows directly from (36).

Lemma 4 is proved. ∎

Lemma 5. *Let A be an $m \times n$ rectangular matrix over a commutative ring and let $m \leqslant n$. Let $(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_s))$ be a fixed ordered partition of the set N_m. Then*

$$\mathrm{per}(A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_s),\, K_i \subseteq N_n, \\ |K_i| = |H_i|,\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s \\ K_i \cap K_j = \varnothing,\, 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant s}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i]), \tag{40}$$

$$R(x; A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_s),\, K_i \subseteq N_n, \\ |K_i| \leqslant |H_i|,\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s \\ K_i \cap K_j = \varnothing,\, 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant s}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i]) \right) x^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} |K_i|} \tag{41}$$

Proof of the theorem 4, independent of general theory.

Let $A=(a_0I_n+a_1P_n)\otimes J_k$, $H_i=(i-1)k+N_k$, $i\leqslant i\leqslant n$. Then from lemma 5 it follows that

$$\operatorname{per}(A)=\sum_{\substack{(K_1,K_2,\ldots,K_n),\,K_i\subset(i-1)k+N_{2k},\,1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1,\\ K_n\subset((n-1)k+N_k)\cup N_k,\,K_i\cap K_j=\varnothing,\,1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n,\,|K_i|=k,\,1\leqslant i\leqslant n}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{per}(A[H_i|K_i])=$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(K_1^{(1)},K_1^{(2)},K_2^{(1)},K_2^{(2)},\ldots,K_n^{(1)},K_n^{(2)}),\,K_i^{(1)}\subseteq(i-1)k+N_k,\,1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\\ K_i^{(2)}\subseteq ik+N_k,\,1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1,\,K_n^{(2)}\subseteq N_k,\\ (K_i^{(1)}\cap K_i^{(2)})\cap(K_j^{(1)}\cup K_j^{(2)})=\varnothing,\,1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n,|K_i^{(1)}|+|K_i^{(2)}|=k,\,1\leqslant i\leqslant n}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{per}(A[H_i|K_i^{(1)}\cup K_i^{(2)}])\quad(42)$$

We show that if $K_i^{(1)}\subseteq(i-1)k+N_k$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, $K_i^{(2)}\subseteq ik+N_k$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$, $K_n^{(2)}\subseteq N_k$, $(K_i^{(1)}\cup K_i^{(2)})\cap(K_j^{(1)}\cup K_j^{(2)})=\varnothing$, $1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n$, $|K_i^{(1)}|+|K_i^{(2)}|=k$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, then $|K_1^{(1)}|=|K_2^{(1)}|=\ldots=|K_n^{(1)}|$. Let $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$. Then $|K_i^{(1)}|+|K_i^{(2)}|=k$, $K_{i+1}^{(1)}\subseteq ik+N_k$, $K_i^{(2)}\subseteq ik+N_k$, $K_{i+1}^{(1)}\cap K_i^{(2)}=\varnothing$ and therefore $K_{i+1}^{(1)}\subseteq(ik+N_k)\setminus K_i^{(2)}$. It follows that $|K_{i+1}^{(1)}|\leqslant k-|K_i^{(2)}|=|K_i^{(1)}|$, i. d. $|K_i^{(1)}|\geqslant|K_{i+1}^{(1)}|$ for all i, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$. So $|K_1^{(1)}|\geqslant|K_2^{(1)}|\geqslant\ldots\geqslant|K_n^{(1)}|$. Further, $|K_n^{(1)}|+|K_n^{(2)}|=k$, $K_1^{(1)}\subseteq N_k$, $K_n^{(2)}\subseteq N_k$, $K_n^{(1)}\cap K_n^{(2)}=\varnothing$, and therefore $K_n^{(2)}\subseteq N_k\setminus K_1^{(1)}$. Therefore $|K_n^{(2)}|\leqslant k-|K_1^{(1)}|$ and it follows that, $k-|K_n^{(1)}|=|K_n^{(2)}|\leqslant k-|K_1^{(1)}|$, i. d. $k-|K_n^{(1)}|\leqslant k-|K_1^{(1)}|$. Therefore, $|K_n^{(1)}|\geqslant|K_1^{(1)}|$. Hence and from inequalities $|K_1^{(1)}|\geqslant|K_2^{(1)}|\geqslant\ldots\geqslant|K_n^{(1)}|$ it follows that $|K_1^1|=|K_2^{(1)}|=\ldots=|K_n^{(1)}|$. We show that sets $K_1^{(2)},K_2^{(2)},\ldots,K_n^{(2)}$ be defined uniquely by choice of sets $K_1^{(1)},K_2^{(1)},\ldots,K_n^{(1)}$. Really, from inclusions $K_{i+1}^{(1)}\subseteq ik+N_k$, $K_i^{(2)}\subseteq ik+N_k$ and equalities $|K_i^{(1)}|+|K_i^{(2)}|=k$, $|K_{i+1}^{(1)}|=|K_i^{(1)}|$ it follows that $K_i^{(2)}=(ik+N_k)\setminus K_{i+1}^{(1)}$ for all i, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$. From inclusions $K_1^{(1)}\subseteq N_k$, $K_n^{(2)}\subseteq N_k$ and equalities $|K_n^{(1)}|+|K_n^{(2)}|=k$, $|K_1^{(1)}|=|K_n^{(1)}|$ it follows that $K_n^{(2)}=N_k\setminus K_1^{(1)}$. From multilinear of the permanent of square matrix as function of columns it follows that

$$\operatorname{per}(A[H_i|K_i^{(1)}\cup K_i^{(2)}])=a_0^{|K_i^{(1)}|}a_1^{K_i^{(2)}}\operatorname{per}(J_k)=k!a_0^{|K_i^{(1)}|}a_1^{k-|K_i^{(1)}|}\qquad(43)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{per}(A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1^{(1)},K_2^{(1)},\ldots,K_n^{(1)}),\, K_i^{(1)}\subseteq(i-1)k+N_k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\\ |K_1^{(1)}|=|K_2^{(1)}|=\ldots=|K_n^{(1)}|}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} k!a_0^{|K_i^{(1)}|}a_1^{k-|K_i^{(1)}|} = \\
= \sum_{l=0}^{k} \sum_{\substack{(K_1^{(1)},K_2^{(1)},\ldots,K_n^{(1)}),\, K_i^{(1)}\subseteq(i-1)k+N_k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\\ |K_1^{(1)}|=|K_2^{(1)}|=\ldots=|K_n^{(1)}|}} (k!a_0^{l}a_1^{k-l})^n = \\
= \sum_{l=0}^{k} \operatorname{Card}\{(K_1^{(1)}, K_2^{(1)}, \ldots, K_n^{(1)} \mid K_i^{(1)} \subseteq (i-1)k+N_k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n, \\
|K_1^{(1)}| = |K_2^{(1)}| = \ldots = |K_n^{(1)}| = l\} \cdot (k!a_0^{l}a_1^{k-l})^n = \\
= \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l}^n (k!a_0^{l}a_1^{k-l})^n = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l}^n (k!a_0^{k-l}a_1^{l})^n. \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 32. *Let $n \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 1$, let a_0, a_t — be elements in a commutative ring with unity and let $d = \gcd(n,t)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{per}((a_0 I_n + a_t P_n^t) \otimes J_k) = [(k!)^{\frac{n}{d}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l}^{\frac{n}{d}} (a_0^{k-l}a_t^{l})^{\frac{n}{d}}]^d \quad (45)$$

Proof. From [1, lemma 3, p. 12] it follows that the matrix $a_0 I_n + a_t P_n^t$ is permutation equivalent to direct sum of d matrices $a_0 I_{n/d} + a_t P_{n/d}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{per}((a_0 I_n + a_t P_n^t) \otimes J_k) = [\operatorname{per}((a_0 I_{n/d} + a_t P_{n/d}) \otimes J_k)]^d = [(k!)^{n/d} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l}^{n/d} (a_0^{k-l}a_t^{l})^{n/d}]^d.$$

■

Theorem 33. *Let a_0, a_1 be elements in a commutative ring with unity. Then for all $n \geqslant 1$ and $k \geqslant 1$*

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x; (a_0 I_n + a_1 P_n) \otimes J_k) = \\
= \sum_{\substack{(l_1^{(1)},l_2^{(1)},\ldots,l_n^{(1)}),\, (l_1^{(2)},l_2^{(2)},\ldots,l_n^{(2)})\in\{0,1,\ldots,k\}^n\\ l_i^{(2)}\leqslant\min(k-l_i^{(1)},k-l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}),\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{k}{l_i^{(1)}} \binom{k - l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}}{l_i^{(2)}} \binom{k}{l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)}} \times \right. \\
\left. \times \left((l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)})!\right) a_0^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{(1)}} a_1^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{(2)}} x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} (l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)})} \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $A = (a_0 I_n + a_1 P_n) \otimes J_k$, $H_i = (i-1)k + N_k$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then from the lemma 5 it follows that

$$R(x;A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1,K_2,\dots,K_s),\, K_i\subset(i-1)k+N_{2k},\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1\\ K_n\subset((n-1)k+N_k)\cup N_k,\, K_i\cap K_j=\varnothing,\, 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n,\, |K_i|\leqslant k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i])\right) x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s}|K_i|} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{(K_1^{(1)},K_1^{(2)},K_2^{(1)},K_2^{(2)},\dots,K_n^{(1)},K_n^{(2)}),\\ K_i^{(1)}\subseteq(i-1)k+N_k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\\ K_i^{(2)}\subseteq ik+N_k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1,\, K_n^{(2)}\subseteq N_k\\ (K_i^{(1)}\cup K_i^{(2)})\cap(K_j^{(1)}\cup K_j^{(2)})=\varnothing, 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n,\\ |K_i^{(1)}|+|K_i^{(2)}|\leqslant k,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n.}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i^{(1)} \cup K_i^{(2)}])\right) x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(|K_i^{(1)}|+|K_i^{(2)}|)} \tag{47}$$

Let $l_i^{(1)} = |K_i^{(1)}|$, $l_i^{(2)} = |K_i^{(2)}|$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then from the inclusions $K_i^{(2)} \subseteq ik + N_k$, $K_{i+1}^{(1)} \subseteq ik + N_k$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $K_1^{(1)} \subseteq N_k$, $K_n^{(2)} \subseteq N_k$ it follows that $K_i^{(2)} \subseteq (ik + N_k) \setminus K_{i+1}^{(1)}$, $1 \leqslant i \leq n-1$, $K_n^{(2)} \subseteq N_k \setminus K_1^{(1)}$ and therefore $l_i^{(2)} \leqslant k - l_{(i+1) \bmod n}^{(1)}$. Since the choice of the sets $K_i^{(1)}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, realized independent from each other, then after selected sets $K_1^{(1)}, \dots, K_n^{(1)}$, the sets $K_1^{(2)}, \dots, K_n^{(2)}$ also choose independent from each other with regard inclusions $K_i^{(2)} \subseteq (ik + N_k) \setminus K_{i+1}^{(1)}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $K_n^{(2)} \subseteq N_k \setminus K_1^{(1)}$. From multilinear of the permanent of an $k \times l$, rectangular matrix if $k \geqslant l$, as function of columns it follows that

$$\operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i^{(1)} \cup K_i^{(2)}]) = \binom{k}{|K_i^{(1)}| + |K_i^{(2)}|}((|K_i^{(1)}| + |K_i^{(2)}|)!) \cdot a_0^{|K_i^{(1)}|} a_1^{(K_i^{(2)})}.$$

Therefore

$$R(x;A) = \sum_{\substack{(l_1^{(1)},l_2^{(1)},\dots,l_n^{(1)}),\,(l_1^{(2)},l_2^{(2)},\dots,l_n^{(2)})\in\{0,1,\dots,k\}^n\\ l_i^{(2)}\leqslant\min(k-l_i^{(1)},k-l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}),\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{k}{l_i^{(1)}} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{k - l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}}{l_i^{(2)}}\right)\right) \times$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{k}{l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)}}((l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)})!) a_0^{l_i^{(1)}} a_1^{l_i^{(2)}}\right) x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(l_i^{(1)}+l_i^{(2)})} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{(l_1^{(1)},l_2^{(1)},\dots,l_n^{(1)}),\,(l_1^{(2)},l_2^{(2)},\dots,l_n^{(2)})\in\{0,1,\dots,k\}^n\\ l_i^{(2)}\leqslant\min(k-l_i^{(1)},k-l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}),\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{k}{l_i^{(1)}}\binom{k - l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}}{l_i^{(2)}}\binom{k}{l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)}} \times\right.$$

$$\left. \times\, ((l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)})!) a_0^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{(1)}} a_1^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{(2)}} x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(l_i^{(1)}+l_i^{(2)})}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{(l_1^{(1)},l_2^{(1)},\dots,l_n^{(1)}),\,(l_1^{(2)},l_2^{(2)},\dots,l_n^{(2)})\in\{0,1,\dots,k\}^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{k}{l_i^{(1)}}\binom{k - l_{(i+1)\bmod n}^{(1)}}{l_i^{(2)}}\binom{k}{l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)}} \times\right.$$

$$\left. \times\, ((l_i^{(1)} + l_i^{(2)})!) a_0^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{(1)}} a_1^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{(2)}} x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(l_i^{(1)}+l_i^{(2)})}\right), \tag{48}$$

Since $l_i^{(2)} > \min(k - l_i^{(1)}, k - l_{(i+1) \bmod n}^{(1)})$, then

$$\binom{k - l_{(i+1)\bmod n}}{\;}\binom{k}{\;} = 0$$

■

Lemma 6. *Let A be an $m \times n$ rectangular matrix over a commutative ring with unity and $m > n$. Let $(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s)$ be a fixed ordered partition of the set N_m. Then*

$$\operatorname{per}(A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1,K_2,\dots,K_s),\, K_i \subseteq N_n,\\ |K_i| \leqslant |H_i|,\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s\\ |K_1|+|K_2|+\dots+|K_s|=n,\, K_i \cap K_j = \varnothing,\, 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant s}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i]), \tag{49}$$

$$R(x; A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1,K_2,\dots,K_s),\, K_i \subseteq N_n,\\ |K_i| \leqslant |H_i|,\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s\\ K_i \cap K_j = \varnothing,\, 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant s}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i]) \right) x^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} |K_i|} \tag{50}$$

Lemma 7. *Let A be an $m \times n$ rectangular matrix over a commutative ring with unity and let H be a subset of the set N_m. Then*

$$R(x; A) = \sum_{k \subseteq N_n,\, |K| \leqslant |H|} \operatorname{per}(A[H \mid K]) x^{|K|} R(x; A[N_m \setminus H \mid N_n \setminus K]) \tag{51}$$

Proof. From the lemmas 5 and 6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R(x; A) &= \sum_{k \subseteq N_n,\, |K| \leqslant |H|} \operatorname{per}(A[H \mid K]) \sum_{L \subseteq N_n \setminus K,\, |L| \leqslant |N_m \setminus H|} \operatorname{per}(A[N_m \setminus H \mid L]) x^{|K|+|L|} = \\ &= \sum_{k \subseteq N_n,\, |K| \leqslant |H|} \operatorname{per}\big(A[H \mid K]\big) x^{|K|} \left(\sum_{L \subseteq N_n \setminus K,\, |L| \leqslant |N_m \setminus H|} \operatorname{per}(A[N_m \setminus H \mid L]) x^{|L|} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k \subseteq N_n,\, |K| \leqslant |H|} \operatorname{per}(A[H \mid K]) x^{|K|} R(x; A[N_m \setminus H \mid N_n \setminus K]). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

■

Let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant kt$ and let $k \geqslant 1$, $t \geqslant 0$. On the set $G_t^{[k]}$ we define a square matrix

$$A_{r,t}^{[k]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t) = \big(a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}}\big)_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta} \in G_t^{[k]}}\big)$$

as follows: $A_{0,0}^{[k]}(a_0) = k! a_0^k$. if $t \geqslant 1$ and $\overline{\alpha} = (1^{\langle l_1 \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_2 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_t \rangle}) \in G_{s,t}^{[k]}$. If $s < r$, then

$$a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = \begin{cases} (l_t + v)! \binom{k}{l_t+v} \binom{l_1}{p_1} \binom{l_2}{p_2} \dots \binom{l_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}} \binom{k}{v - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i} a_0^{\,v - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i} a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}} a_t^{l_t}, \\ \text{if } \overline{\beta} = \left(1^{\langle k - v + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i \rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1 - p_1 \rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2 - p_2 \rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1} - p_{t-1} \rangle}\right),\ 0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant l_i \\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1,\ \max\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} p_i, s - r + k - l_t\right) \leqslant v \leqslant k - l_t, \\ 0, \quad \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

If $s \geqslant r$, then

$$a_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = \begin{cases} k!\binom{l_1}{p_1}\binom{l_2}{p_2}\dots\binom{l_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}}\binom{k}{v-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i} a_0^{v-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i} a_1^{p_1}a_2^{p_2}a_{t-1}^{p_{t-1}}a_t^{k-v}, \\ \text{if } \overline{\beta} = \left(1^{\langle k-v+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i\rangle}, 2^{\langle l_1-p_1\rangle}, 3^{\langle l_2-p_2\rangle}, \dots, t^{\langle l_{t-1}-p_{t-1}\rangle}\right), \\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1,\ \max\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}p_i, k-l_t\right) \leqslant v \leqslant \min(k, s-r+k-l_t), \\ 0, \quad \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

Theorem 34. *Let* $t \geqslant 0$ *and let* $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t$ *be an elements in a commutative ring with unity, let* $n \geqslant 1$, $k \geqslant 1$, *let* $0 \leqslant r \leqslant k\min(n,t)$, *let* $\overline{\beta} \in G^{[k]}_{r,\min(n,t)}$, $m = \min(n,t)$. *Then for all* $\overline{\alpha} \in G^{[k]}_t$

$$\operatorname{per}\Bigg(\bigg(\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_i T^{(i)}_{n+t}\Big) \otimes J_k\bigg)\Big[N_{nk} \mid k\big(1^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}(1)}\rangle}, 2^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}(2)}\rangle}, \dots, m^{\langle k-m_{\overline{\beta}(m)}\rangle},$$

$$mk+1, mk+2, \dots, nk, nk+k\overline{\alpha}\big)\Big]\Bigg) =$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\min(n,t)} \binom{k}{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)}^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{\overline{\gamma}\in G^{[k]}_t \\ \{\overline{\gamma}\}\supseteq\{\overline{\beta}\}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\min(n,t)} \binom{m_{\overline{\gamma}}(i)}{m_{\overline{\beta}}(i)} \cdot \left(A^{[k]}_{r,t}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t)\right)^n [L(\overline{\alpha}) \mid L(\overline{\gamma})] \tag{55}$$

From lemmas 5 and 6 it follows that

Lemma 8. *Let* A *be an* $m \times n$ *rectangular matrix over a commutative ring with unity. Let* $(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_s)$ *be a fixed ordered partition of the set* N_m. *Then*

$$\operatorname{per}(A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1,K_2,\dots,K_s),\, K_i\subseteq N_n, \\ |K_i|\leqslant|H_i|,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant s \\ K_i\cap K_j=\varnothing,\, 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant s,\, \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s}|K_i|=\min(m,n)}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i]), \tag{56}$$

$$R(x; A) = \sum_{\substack{(K_1,K_2,\dots,K_s),\, K_i\subseteq N_n, \\ |K_i|\leqslant|H_i|,\, 1\leqslant i\leqslant s \\ K_i\cap K_j=\varnothing,\, 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant s}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{per}(A[H_i \mid K_i])\right) x^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s}|K_i|} \tag{57}$$

References

[1] A.M.Kamenetsky. Permanents and determinants of group matrices. Explicit formulas and recursion relations for permanents and determinants of circulants. Solution of Lehmer problem evaluation coefficients of the determinants of general circulants // Deposited at VINITI 14.08.1990, No. 4620 — B. 90, 499p. (in Russian)

[2] A.M.Kamenetsky. Permanents and determinants of group matrices. Proof of Conjecture of H.Minc and N.Metropolis, M.L.Stein. Solution of generalized problem of Kaplansky-Riordan evaluation of the rook polynomial of $\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_i P_n^i$. Solution of a generalized menage problem // Deposited at VINITI 19.08.91, No. 3487 — B. 91, 499p. (in Russian)

[3] A.M.Kamenetsky Permanents and determinants of group matrices. Solution of generalized problem of Kaplansky-Riordan evaluation of the rook polynomial of the Toeplitz matrices $\sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{-k+i} T_n^{(-k+i)}$. Explicit formula for the generating functions. Proof of a conjecture of H.Minc on primitivity of nonegative matrices $\{\Pi_r(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_t)\}_{0 \leqslant r \leqslant t}$ under the condition $a_0, a_t > 0$, $a_{t_1}, a_{t_2}, \ldots, a_{t_k} > 0$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant t-1$, $1 \leqslant t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k \leqslant t-1$, $\gcd(t, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) = 1$ // Deposited at VINITI 28.08.92, No. 2695 — B. 92, 508p. (in Russian)

[4] A.M.Kamenetsky. General theory of cycle rook polynomials and the cycle permanents of rectangular matrices with application to Toeplitz and circulant matrices // The works of V International seminare of discrete mathematics and its applications, 31 Januar — 2 February 1995, to appear (in Russian)

[5] A.M.Kamenetsky. Rationality of generating functions of cycle rook polynomials and cycle permanents of Kronecker products of Toeplitz and circulant matrices with the matrix J_k // The works of VII International seminare of discrete mathematics and its applications, 2 — 6 February 2004, pp.191 — 197 (in Russian)

[6] R.Stanley. Enumerative combinatorics, Moscow, Mir, 1990. (in Russian)

[7] Henryc Minc, Permanents, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1978.

[8] N.Metropolits, M.L.Stein, Permanentes of cyclic $(0,1)$ — matrices // J.Combin.Theory. — 1969. — N7 — p.291 — 321

[9] Henryc Minc, Recurrence formulas for permanents of $(0,1)$ — circulants // Linear Algebra and Appl. — 1985 — N17 — p.241 — 265.

[10] Henryc Minc, Permanental Compounds and Permanents of $(0,1)$ — circulants // Linear Algebra and Appl. — 1987 — N86 — p.11 — 42.

[11] I.Kaplansky, Solution of the "Probleme des Menages" // Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. — 1943. — v.49. — p.784 — 785.

[12] J.Dutka, On the probleme des menages // The Math. Intellig. – 1986. — v.8,N3 — p.18 — 25.

[13] K.Bogart, P.Doyle. Non-sexist solution of the Ménage Problem // Amer.math.Monthly. — 1986. — v.93, N7. – p.514 — 518.

[14] John Riordan, An Introduction to Combinational Analysis, John Wiley, New-York — London — Sydney, 1958.

[15] E.G.Whitehead, Four-discordant permutations // J.Austral.Math.Soc. – 1979. – A28. — p.369 — 377.

[16] H.Minc, On permanents of circulants // Pasif.J.Math. — 1972. — 42, N2. — p.477 — 484.

[17] H.Minc, Permanents of $(0, 1)$ — circulants // Canad.Math.Bull. — 1964. — 7, N2. — p.253 — 263.

[18] H.Minc, Theory of permanents 1978 — 1981 // Linear and Multilinear Algebra. –1983. — 12. — p.227 — 263.

[19] H.Minc, Theory of permanents 1982 — 1985 // Linear and Multilinear Algebra. — 1987. — 21, N2 — p.109 — 148

[20] I.M.Gessel, Counting three-line Latin rectangles // Lect. Notes Math. — 1986. — 1234. — p.106 — 111.

[21] I.M.Gessel, Counting Latin rectangles // Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1987. — 16, N1. – p.79 — 82.

[22] C.D.Godsil, B.D.McKay, Asymptotic enumeration of Latin rectangles // J.Combin. Theory. B. — 1990. — 48,N1. — p.19 — 44

[23] J.Riordan, Discordant permutations // Scripta Math. — 1954. — v.20, N1-2. p. 14 — 23.

[24] J.Touchard, Sur un probleme de permutations // C.r.Acad.sci. — 1934. — 198. — p.631 — 633.

[25] W.Schöbe, Das Lucassche Ehepaarproblem // Math. Z. — 1943. – 48. — p. 781 — 784.

[26] W.O.J.Moser, the number of very redused $4 \times n$ Latin rectangles // Canad.J.Math. — 1967. — v.19, N5. — p.1011 — 1017.

[27] J.Touchard, Permutations discordant with two given permutations // Scripta Math. — 1953. — 19,N3 — p.109 — 119.

[28] E.R.Canfield, N.C.Wormald, Ménage numbers,bijections and P-recursiveness // Discrete Math. — 1987. — 63, N2 — 3. — p.117 — 129.

[29] E.Nemeth, J.Seberry, M.Shu, On the distribution of the permanent of cyclic $(0,1)$-matrices // Utilitas Math. — 1979. — N16. — p.171 — 182.

[30] P.Eades, C.Praeger, R.Seberry, Some remarks on the permanents of circulants $(0,1)$ — matrices //Wilitas Math. — 1983. — N23. — 145 — 159.

[31] Henderson J.Permanents of $(0,1)$ — matrices having at most two zeros per line // Canad.Math.Bull. — 1975. — N18. — p.353 — 358.

[32] M.R.Lagrange, Quelques resultats dans la métrique des permutations // Ann. scient. Ec.Norm. — 1962. — Vol.79. — p.199 — 241.

[33] J.M.Santmyer, Five discordant permutations // Graphs and Combinatorics, — 1993, — v.9,N3, — p. 279 — 292.

[34] V.S.Shevelev, Modern theory of enumeration permutations with restricted positions // Discrete Mathematics, 1993, vol.5, N1, pp.3 — 35 (in Russian).

[35] Gi-sang Cheon, Suk-Geun Hwang, Seok-Zun Song. Rook polynomials to and from permanents // Dicrete Mathematics 264(2003), pp.25 — 36.

[36] B.Godenetti, V.Crespi, G.Resta, On the permanent of certain $(0,1)$ Toeplitz matrices. // Linear Algebra Appl. 267(1997), pp.65 — 100.

[37] L.G.Valiant, The complexity of computing the permanent. // Theoret. Comput.Sci. 8(1979), pp.189 – 201.

[38] L.G.Valiant, Complitenes classes in algebra. // ACM Symposium on the theory of Computing, 1979, pp.204 — 261.

[39] I.P.Goulden, D.M.Jackson, Combinational enumeration. // A Wiley - Inrescince Publication, John Wiley&Sons, New-York — Chichester — Brisbane — Toronto — Singapore, 1983.

[40] A.M.Kamenetsky, Rationality of generating functions of rook polynomials and permanents of Kroneker products of Toeplitz matrices and circulants with the matrix J_k // Uspekhi mathematicheskikh nauk, volume 60, No.3, 2005, pp.177 — 178 (in Russian).